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Abstract

Background
A woman's nutritional and physical health at conception and during her pregnancy is crucial factors in defining both her own and her unborn child's health and well-being at this crucial time in her life. Therefore, the objective of the study was to investigate the effect of Behavior Change and Communication (BCC) through the women development Army on optimal nutrition and health practices of pregnant women.

Methods
In the Ambo district, 770 pregnant women participated in a two-arm parallel cluster randomized controlled community trial with baseline and end-line measures (385 in control and 385 in intervention groups). Endpoint data from 372 intervention and 372 control groups were gathered, respectively. In the intervention group, health development armies delivered the BCC's main message. The intervention began in July 2018, and end point data were collected from pregnant women (n = 744) in October, 2018. The control group received the typical care provided by the healthcare system during an ANC visit. Descriptive statistics, chi-square test, bivariable and lastly multivariable binary logistic regressions analysis was used to control confounders. Statistical analyses were conducted on intention-to-treat (ITT) basis and p value <0.05 was used to declare statistical significance.

Result
The overall optimal nutrition and health practice were higher in the intervention group than in the control group (62.6% vs. 39.0%, P<0.001). Pregnant women who received intervention had two times higher odds of optimal nutrition and health practices compared to pregnant women who were in the control group (AOR = 2.051, 95%CI: 1.318-3.192). In a multivariable binary logistic regression analysis (p<0.05), being in the intervention group, educational status, estimated time to reach the health institution, and knowledge about nutrition and health were found to be significantly associated with optimal nutrition and health practices.

Conclusions
Behavior change communication (BCC) through the women's development army is effective in improving optimal nutrition and health practices of pregnant women. Therefore, to improve the optimal nutrition and health practices of pregnant women, BCC through the health development army is recommended.

Keywords: Optimal nutrition and health practices, BCC, Health Development Army, Pregnancy and Ambo, Ethiopia.

Background
Nutrition throughout life has a major effect on health. Mother's nutritional and health status at conception and throughout pregnancy plays a key role in determining her health and well-being, as well as that of her child [1]. According to Essential Nutrition Actions (ENA) framework, optimal nutrition and health practice of pregnant women encompasses optimal quantity and quality of diet; essential micronutrient intake; diseases prevention and treatment practices and supportive life style and care [2-5].
Behavior change communication (BCC) is widely recognized as one of the main health promotion strategies. It is an interactive process of working with individuals and communities to develop communication strategies to promote positive behaviors as well as create a supportive environment to enable them to adopt and sustain positive behaviors [6, 7].

Suboptimal diet that comprises inadequate intake of calories and other nutrients, combined with a heavy maternal workload, impacts adversely on the health of the mother, the developing foetus and the newborn [8]. Pregnant women need at least one extra meal a day than usual, along with plenty of safe water. Consumption of iron-rich foods and iodized salt is also important [9].

Naturally, the urge to eat more is experienced by nearly all pregnant women [10]. Weight gain is a normal process during pregnancy. On average, a woman should gain about 12.5 kg during pregnancy. Many women gain barely half this amount because of poor diets and heavy workloads [4, 9].

Malaria prevention and treatment, deworming treatment, sanitation facilities and practices are very important aspects of nutrition and health practices during pregnancy [2, 4]. Minimizing a heavy work load and reducing work hours enables energy-deficient women to reduce their energy expenditure. If a pregnant woman does not reduce her work load or gets enough rest during her pregnancy, her nutrient stores may be depleted [3, 5].

Women’s status and women’s education play pivotal roles in improving nutrition. In addition to benefiting their nutrition and health status, greater education among women paves the way to greater productivity, empowerment, and control of resources, allowing them to make better choices that ultimately benefit the health and nutrition of children and families [11, 12]. In some cultures, because of their status, women are less able to access resources and make decisions to improve their health and nutrition [13].

Studies were done in Ethiopia on dietary knowledge and practice and the nutritional status of pregnant women. According to the institution-based study done in Mettu Karl Hospital, Southwest Ethiopia, among pregnant women the prevalence of sub-optimal dietary practices was 22% [14]. According to the study done in Gedeo zone, southern Ethiopia, in 2018, around one-third (67.8%) of pregnant women had poor dietary practices [15].

Different researchers show socio-demographic factors like age, wealth index, residence, size of farmland, illiteracy or low education are common factors affecting maternal nutrition and health status and, similarly, maternal and health service related factors like years at marriage, ANC visits, level of nutritional knowledge and food practices (consuming additional food during pregnancy and a variety of food from both animal and plant origins) are common factors affecting the nutrition and health practices of pregnant women [16-19].

Nutritional and health education interventions for pregnant women were found to be beneficial in improving their dietary habits [20]. However, normal nutrition and health education provided by health professionals in health care facilities in Ethiopia was difficult for pregnant women to understand and was inconsistent. As a result, dietary and health outcomes remain a major public health issue in Ethiopia [21].

This study used health development armies to communicate behavior change as an implementer of the intervention. Health Development Armies are volunteers, have significant potential to improve access to Primary Health Care (PHC) in Ethiopia, and support the work of the Health Extension Workers (HEWs) [22] and there is an impressive improvement in maternal and child health and service use after the introduction of HDA in the country [23-25]. However, to the best of the researcher’s knowledge, no evidence was found on BCC interventions delivered through HDA whether to improve the nutritional status of pregnant women.

One of the most well-known health promotion tools is behavior change communication (BCC). It is a collaborative approach that involves working with individuals and groups to develop communication methods to encourage positive behaviors and to build a supportive atmosphere in which they may adopt and maintain those behaviors [7, 26].

This paper aims to apply the Health Development Army (HDA) as a pivotal role of implementer on improving optimal nutrition and health practices of pregnant women. To the best of our knowledge, no research has been done on the effect of BCC through the health development army on pregnant women's optimal nutritional and health practices. As a result, the objective of this study was to assess the effect of BCC through the health development army on the optimal nutritional and health practices of pregnant women in Ambo district.

Methods and Materials
Study design, study period and setting
A cluster randomized controlled community trial (CRCCT) with baseline and endline measurement was conducted from June 2018 to October 2018 among pregnant women in the Ambo district of West Shoa Zone, Ethiopia. Ambo district is located in the western part of Ethiopia, 114 km from Addis Ababa, the capital of Ethiopia. Based on 2017 district health office data, it has 37,454 and 6976 reproductive age group and pregnant women, respectively [27].

Sample size determination and study population
The sample size was calculated using G power 3.1.9.2 program with a power of 80% for Fisher’s exact test and precision of 5%. According to Fekadu B et al., (2016) the proportion of optimal dietary practice among pregnant women (p1) 0.34 was used (28), effect size (h) of 0.3 and with the allocation ratio case to control (N2/N1) of 1, Proportion (p2) 0.65 was calculated, and with the allocation ratio of intervention to control group (N2/N1) of 1. The calculated sample size was multiplied by design effect of 1.5 due to cluster sampling. Considering a 10% loss to follow up, the final sample size was 770 pregnant women (385 of controls and 385 of interventions group). Due to problems reported in Figure 1, the actual data were collected from 372 women in...
the intervention group and 372 women in the control group. The study population was pregnant women aged 18–49 years, before 16 weeks of gestation, and permanent residents (who lived in the study area for more than six months) of the Ambo district. Pregnant women with chronic diseases such as hypertension, diabetes, tuberculosis, and HIV/AIDS, those with incomplete baseline data, and those enrolled in supplementary feeding programs were excluded from the study, as these interventions would have an impact on nutritional status and thus bias the results of the study.

Recruitment, randomization and intervention allocation
Recruitment of participants
A multi-stage sampling technique was used to select study participants. The total number of kebeles (sub districts) in the district was stratified into rural and urban areas. Simple random sampling (SRS) with the lottery method was used to select 12 kebeles (2 urban and 10 rural) from the existing 39 kebeles (6 urban and 33 rural). Each kebele has its own sub kebeles or clusters (locally known as “Gots”), which have been pre-determined by government bodies and are grouped into 149 distinct clusters. The number of clusters in each kebele was then recorded. Forty-eight clusters were selected at random from the entire number of clusters available in the district, including non-adjacent clusters and those that were somewhat far apart from one another. Lists were established to include these clusters in the study. As a result, each arm of this study had 24 clusters. We left at least one cluster between each cluster that was used in our research as a buffer zone. We used a proportionate stratified sampling technique to obtain clusters from each kebele. Using the block randomization technique, we randomly assign the chosen clusters into intervention and control groups, as described in more detail below. Eligible pregnant women were screened utilizing a family folder prepared by the kebele’s health extension workers (HEWs), as well as by inquiring about the first date of their last menstrual cycle and confirming pregnancy using a pregnancy test. Then, were employed simple random sampling technique to select study participants from each cluster for each arm. Equal numbers of participants were selected from each cluster. Health extension workers and data collectors visited a group of selected women at each arm at their residence. Women who agreed to take part in the study were asked to sign an informed consent form to confirm their voluntary involvement. Each subject was interviewed after receiving consent.

Randomization
Random selection of clusters
The clusters (locally known as “Gots”) were the units of randomization in our study. We stratified the subdistricts (or kebeles, i.e., urban vs. rural kebeles) before randomization and produced a separate list of kebeles in alphabetical order. The stratification technique was used to distribute any known and unknown confounders at the kebele level equally among the study arms. A unique code was provided to each kebele. As was already mentioned, thirty-nine sub-districts make up the Ambo district. Using simple random sampling (SRS) with the lottery method, we selected 12 kebeles. Each kebele has sub kebeles (or clusters, often known as “Gots”). We used the proportionate stratified sampling method to obtain clusters from each of the 12 kebeles proportionately (48/149) to their population size. A unique cluster code was given to each cluster. The 48 clusters were then divided into two blocks of size 4 in accordance with their alphabetical order by the author. The author randomly selected the randomization sequence of clusters for each block using the sealed lots of the six possible permutations within the block. Each block's clusters were randomly assigned to the intervention and control arms in the order indicated by the block's chosen permutation for the stratum. In order to preserve a 1:1 random allocation ratio, we created 24 clusters for the intervention arm and another 24 clusters for the control arm. Actual and potential confounding factors were distributed equally across the study arms due to the stratification and randomization approaches, which ultimately ensured the comparability of the arms. Pregnant women who met the inclusion criteria were enrolled in the same arm in one cluster (either in the intervention or control arms). There were about 12–17 pregnant women in each cluster. Between the intervention and control clusters, buffer zones (non-selected clusters) were also left to reduce information contamination. Health Extension Workers recruited, enrolled, and assigned individuals to interventions after the author randomized the clusters.

Thirteen people from the intervention and thirteen from the control groups were removed from the study for reasons such as discontinuing the intervention and being lost to follow-up. The study was completed with 744 people (Figure 1).

Intervention
To continue with the intervention strategy, the researchers enlisted the help of health extension workers to recruit Health Development Armies (HDA). HDA were chosen from clusters that had been designated as intervention groups. Following recruitment, HDA were trained for one week using a protocol developed by the principal investigator for pregnant women based on the Essential Nutrition Action framework, a framework for promoting maternal nutrition developed by the Manoff Group for developing countries, and making a balanced plate for pregnant women in Bangladesh [3, 9, 29]. Both theoretical and practical demonstrations were included in the training. HDA followed the criteria (based on the protocol) to assure the intervention's adoption. A training handbook, role-playing, participatory meal preparation, and mock BCC sessions were used to deliver HDA training to a group. To reduce variability among HDA, the researchers established a key assessment question (checklist) (incorporating both theory and skill). The Health Development Army's knowledge and skills were assessed before and after training, as well as through practical evaluations. After the training, the authors conducted standardization tests to ensure that everyone was on a similar level. Furthermore, the researchers and supervisors actively monitored HDAs during the pretest and during the intervention to see if they were delivering the intended message according to the intervention protocol. Those who failed to communicate the health and nutrition BCC message were identified and prompt corrective action was taken.

The intervention for pregnant women took place in the community's intervention clusters. However, the exact location of the BCC training was determined by agreement between the pregnant women and the HDA (and so that they have traveled to a
meal preparation. Based on this visual display, pregnant women
all covered in a practical demonstration. Participants were urged
secticide-treated bed net, and personal and food cleanliness were
and time of adding iodized salt while cooking, how to use an in-

sessions on nutrition and health.

A message about food intake centered on locally available, ac-
trollable, and affordable foods. In addition to delivering BCC
messages, HDAs were provided posters and brochures with rel-
vent images to display to pregnant women. Pregnant women
were given leaflets with key messages written in Afan Oromo
and Amharic (local) languages with illustrations. If a woman
couldn't read, it was suggested that she have the leaflet read to
her by someone at home or in the community who could. Be-
cause the selected HDA were educated (at least read and write),
they received written teaching aid; they had a schedule and
topics to address in each contact (Supplemental file S1). Each
pregnant woman in the intervention group had attended six BCC
sessions on nutrition and health.

Meal preparation, exhibiting samples of iron/folate tablets, type
and time of adding iodized salt while cooking, how to use an in-
secticide-treated bed net, and personal and food cleanliness were
all covered in a practical demonstration. Participants were urged
to share food from their own kitchens (home) to demonstrate
meal preparation. Based on this visual display, pregnant women
actively identified the food groups and preparation methods they
should change. Pregnant women in the control groups did not
receive the intervention but were instead exposed to the typi-
cal care provided by the healthcare system during an ANC visit
and any intervention at the community level by health extension
workers. This service was available to pregnant women in both
the control and intervention groups. They were monitored for
the same duration of the intervention and given the same evalu-
ations as the intervention group.

Blinding
Allocation concealment is not possible due to the nature of the
intervention. The participants, as well as the HDA, are aware
of the intervention. However, the participants, HDAs, and data
collectors were blinded to the study hypothesis. The field super-
visors were blinded to the outcome of interest and, finally, we
blinded the data collectors for the intervention. Furthermore, the
data entry clerk was blinded by coding the groups.

Data collection tools and procedures
Data were collected using a pre-tested and semi-structured ques-
tionnaire written in English. To maintain consistency, the ques-
tionnaire was translated into two languages (Afan Oromo and
Amharic) and then back to English by language experts to keep
its consistency. The questionnaire was pretested in Ginchi town,
which is nearby to Ambo district, on 39(5%) of the total sample
size to identify any ambiguity, length, completeness, consisten-
cy, and acceptability of the questionnaire, and some skip patterns
were corrected before the real data collection.

Eight diploma nurses were recruited to collect data. Training
was given to the data collectors on the objective and relevance
of the study; confidentiality of information; respondent’s rights;
informed consent; and techniques of interview. The filled ques-
tionnaires were checked for consistency and completeness daily
by four supervisors who had BSc degrees in Nursing and prin-
cipal investigators on the spot. Parts one and two of the ques-
tionnaire cover socio-demographic and maternal characteristics;
part three covers maternal characteristics; part four covers nutri-
tional status; and part five covers birth outcomes such as live or
death, place of delivery, and birth weight. At the baseline, data
on sociodemographic, economic, and maternal characteristics
were collected. Before and after the trial, data on nutrition and
health knowledge, attitude, and practice were obtained, as well
as mid-upper arm circumferences.

The household wealth index was assessed using wealth con-
structs covering household assets, utilities, and agricultural land
ownership adopted from the Ethiopian Demographic and Health
Survey [30]. The latent factors describing the wealth data were
generated using principle components analysis (PCA) and then
grouped into wealth tertials.

Women’s decision-making power was assessed using eight ques-
tions. When a choice was made by the woman alone or jointly
with her husband, code one was assigned to each question; oth-
erwise, code zero was assigned to each question. The mean was
used to categorize a woman's ability to make decisions [30].
Food security status was assessed using 27 previously validated
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common site, but not out of the intervention clusters). The in-
tervention was conducted once every two weeks for 1:00 up to
1:30 hour length on nonworking days; similarly, the researchers
monitored the intervention activities every two weeks, and the
intervention lasted three months. The typical cluster size was
12-17 pregnant women; therefore one HDA was in charge of
each cluster.

The main components of the intervention were adapted from the
recommendations of Essential Nutrition Action (ENA), a fram-
work for promoting maternal nutrition developed by the Manoff
Group for developing countries, and making a balanced plate
for pregnant women in Bangladesh as described above. The core
content of the intervention includes the following nutrition
and health practice messages: on eating additional foods during
pregnancy (increase meal frequency and portion size with ges-
tational age); eating a variety (diversified) of foods from vegeta-
ble, fruit, and animal sources; utilization of iodized salt; appro-
priate timing and storage of iodized salt; decrease consumption
of iron-inhibiting foods, such as tea and/or coffee, with meals;
decrease alcohol consumption; not avoiding important foods
during pregnancy (associated with taboos); importance of tak-
ing iron/folate during pregnancy for at least three months; sleep
under an insecticide-treated bed net; seek treatment from health
institution if developed illness; keep a clean environment, wash
hands with soap during critical moments; keep food and food
containers clean; drink only treated water (if out of pipe water);
reducing heavy workload; taking day rest; improving self-de-
cision making in food and her own health, importance of ob-
taining support from the family/Community during pregnancy
and utilization of health care services (ANC follow up, Plan to
deliver at health institution, and plan to have PNC follow up)
and birth preparedness and complication readiness. Similarly,
at each BCC session, the consequences of not using the above
message were discussed. During each BCC session, participants’
knowledge and attitudes about good nutrition and health were
also assessed. Then, depending on the detected gaps, a BCC let-
ter was sent out.

Eight diploma nurses were recruited to collect data. Training
was given to the data collectors on the objective and relevance
of the study; confidentiality of information; respondent’s rights;
informed consent; and techniques of interview. The filled ques-
tionnaires were checked for consistency and completeness daily
by four supervisors who had BSc degrees in Nursing and prin-
cipal investigators on the spot. Parts one and two of the ques-
tionnaire cover socio-demographic and maternal characteristics;
part three covers maternal characteristics; part four covers nutri-
tional status; and part five covers birth outcomes such as live or
death, place of delivery, and birth weight. At the baseline, data
on sociodemographic, economic, and maternal characteristics
were collected. Before and after the trial, data on nutrition and
health knowledge, attitude, and practice were obtained, as well
as mid-upper arm circumferences.

The household wealth index was assessed using wealth con-
structs covering household assets, utilities, and agricultural land
ownership adopted from the Ethiopian Demographic and Health
Survey [30]. The latent factors describing the wealth data were
generated using principle components analysis (PCA) and then
grouped into wealth tertials.

Women’s decision-making power was assessed using eight ques-
tions. When a choice was made by the woman alone or jointly
with her husband, code one was assigned to each question; oth-
erwise, code zero was assigned to each question. The mean was
used to categorize a woman's ability to make decisions [30].
Food security status was assessed using 27 previously validated
questions [31]. A household that experienced less than the first 2, 2–10, 11–17, and > 17 food insecurity indicators was considered food secure, mildly, moderately, and severely food insecure households, respectively.

Questions related to Knowledge, Attitude and practices was adapted from essential nutrition action frame work and the formative research done by the Manoff group to promote maternal nutrition in developing countries [3, 5, 17].

Knowledge of pregnant women about nutrition and health practices was assessed by using 14 questions that were used to assess knowledge of pregnant women regarding optimal nutrition and health. A knowledge score was calculated for each participant based on the number of questions that were correctly answered in the knowledge assessment questions. Each correct response was scored 1, and each incorrect response scored 0. The factor scores were summed and ranked into tertiles (three parts). A pregnant woman was considered to have poor knowledge about nutrition and health if she scored below the highest tertile (i.e., in the first and/or second tertile) and good knowledge about nutrition and health if she scored in the highest tertile (third tertile) [32].

Attitude towards nutrition and health practice was assessed by 11 Likert scale questions using PCA. The factor scores were summed and ranked into tertiles (three parts). Then the highest tertile was labeled as a favorable attitude, if not unfavorable attitude [32].

The rapid test kit (RTK) was used to determine if the salt used was iodized or not. The interviewer asks each pregnant woman to provide a teaspoon of salt used for food preparation and fill a small cup spread flat, then add two drops of test solution to the surface of the salt by piercing the white ampule, compare the color of the salt to a standard color chart, and determine the iodine concentration. Finally, salt with > 15 parts per million (ppm) was classified as adequately iodized salt, while salt with 15 ppm was classified as inadequately iodized [33, 34].

The outcome variable nutrition and health practice was measured using questions of practice about the dietary quantity, dietary quality, micronutrient intake, disease prevention and treatment and supportive lifestyle and care. The respondents had asked to choose Yes=1 or No=0 answers by indicating whether each practice of nutrition and health were practiced or not. One point was allocated to a correct response for each questions and zero for incorrect response. The factor scores were summed and ranked into tertiles (three parts). Pregnant woman was considered to have suboptimal practice about nutrition and health if she scored below the highest tertile (i.e. in the first and/or second tertile) and optimal practice about nutrition and health if she scored in the highest tertile (third tertile) [32].

Data processing and analysis
Thirteen pregnant women discontinued the intervention from the intervention group and thirteen lost to follow-up from the control group were excluded from the analysis. Before entering data, data were manually checked for completeness and consistency. Then it was entered into EPI data version 3.1 and exported to SPSS for Windows version 21 for cleaning and analysis. The effect of intervention was measured at the endpoint of follow up. First, descriptive statistics like mean and Standard Deviation was done for continuous variable and frequency and percentage for categorical data. A chi-square test was performed to compare the baseline characteristics of the intervention and control groups.

The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit statistic is used to assess model goodness of fit. Multicollinearity was checked using Variance Inflation Factors (VIF) at < 8 but the VIF of all variables was less than 2, which means no multicollinearity. Moreover, the interaction of the variables with the intervention at a p-value of < 0.05 was assessed and there was no interaction. Simple logistic regression analysis was performed between nutritional and health practices and associated factors one at a time. Their odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) and p-values were obtained. Factors that were significantly associated with nutritional and health practice at a p-value <0.25 in simple logistic regression analysis were entered into multivariable logistic regression analysis. p- Values <0.05 were used to declare statistical significance. Statistical analyses were conducted on an intention-to-treat (ITT) basis, which is appropriate for a cluster randomized design study data analysis.

Data quality control
For one week, the health development armies were trained together. Data collectors and supervisors received three days of training as well. Four supervisors with BSc degrees in nursing and investigators supervised the HDA every two weeks. Furthermore, pregnant women in each cluster had the same number and frequency of BCC sessions, and the lengths of contact within each intervention group were similar, resulting in a standardized process. Participants were reminded of the necessity of attending all sessions and acting at home according to the protocol presented to prevent dropout and promote adherence to the intervention and follow-up program. The HDA monitored and recorded adherence to the BCC sessions in a personal training diary (attendance sheet). The intervention process was pretested before the implementation of the trial. Every two weeks, HDAs and their supervisors met to discuss and provide possible remedies to the problems that arose during BCC sessions. The data were collected using a pre-tested questionnaire. Cronbach’s alpha value for knowledge and practice > 0.7 was obtained for the entire scale of the instrument, indicating that it is suitable for use in the research domain. The questionnaire was also translated into the most widely spoken languages in the study area (Afan Oromo and Amharic) to aid respondents’ comprehension. The data gathering process was thoroughly monitored by supervisors and the principal investigator. Daily, completed questionnaires were reviewed for completeness, and any missing or incorrect information was updated. Field supervisors randomly evaluated 5% of the data and alerted them to a possible measurement issue [29].

Ethical consideration
All methods in the study were performed in accordance with relevant institutional, national, and international guidelines.
This study is a community trial that was registered with the Pan African Clinical Trial Registry. The date of registration was March 5, 2018. The registry’s unique identification number is (PACTR201805003366358). The results were reported using the CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) guidelines (Supplemental file S2). The Jimma University Ethical Review Committee reviewed and approved the study protocol (ref no: RPGC/40724/2016). Permission to conduct the study in the respective kebeles was granted by the Ambo district health office (ref no: ADHO/134/2018). Prior to participation in the study, the nature of the study was clearly disclosed to the study participants in order to gain their written informed consent (fingerprint for those who could not read and write) was secured from the study participants, and all information obtained was kept anonymous. Soft copy data is password protected, while hard copy data is secured with a key and lock to guarantee confidentiality. Personally identifiable information will not be used in any form in the presentation of the findings.

Results
From 770 pregnant women who enrolled in this study, 744(96.6%) (372 in the intervention group and 372 in the control group) were included in the analysis. Thirteen pregnant women discontinued the intervention from the intervention group for the following reasons: six women didn’t attend all BCC sessions, four changed their mind to participate in the intervention, two moved out of the area, and one woman developed a miscarriage. Similarly, thirteen pregnant women lost to follow up from the control group due to the following reasons; eight women moved out of the area, three changed their mind, and two women develop miscarriage (Figure 1).

**Figure 1:** This diagram depicts the flow of study participants through the trial according to the criteria recommended in the CONSORT guideline.
All pregnant women who were classified as intervention groups began receiving the intervention on July 01, 2018. The intervention was given over three months, from July 01, 2018 to September 30, 2018.

At the baseline, there was no significant difference in all sociodemographic and obstetric characteristics between the intervention and control groups (P > 0.05) (Table 1).

Table 1: Socio demographic and obstetric characteristics of pregnant women in control and intervention groups at the beginning of the study, Ambo district, Ethiopia, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Intervention group (n1=372)</th>
<th>Control group (n2=372)</th>
<th>Chi-square test, p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of clusters</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>296(49.1)</td>
<td>307(50.9)</td>
<td>0.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>76(53.9)</td>
<td>65(46.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td>Protestant</td>
<td>153(48.4)</td>
<td>163(51.6)</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Orthodox</td>
<td>169(49.1)</td>
<td>175(50.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Others</td>
<td>37(44.0)</td>
<td>47(56.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of the respondent</td>
<td>18-24 Years</td>
<td>90 (50.6)</td>
<td>88(49.4)</td>
<td>0.560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25-34 Years</td>
<td>256(50.0)</td>
<td>256(50.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;35 Years</td>
<td>23 (42.6)</td>
<td>31 (57.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents' Occupation</td>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>19 (47.5)</td>
<td>21 (52.5)</td>
<td>0.868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>House wives/ Daily laborers</td>
<td>307 (50.2)</td>
<td>305 (49.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Merchants</td>
<td>23 (54.8)</td>
<td>19 (45.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>22 (44.0)</td>
<td>28 (56.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women educational status</td>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>144 (50.7)</td>
<td>140 (49.3)</td>
<td>0.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-4 Grade</td>
<td>83 (46.9)</td>
<td>94 (53.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-8 Grade</td>
<td>92 (50.5)</td>
<td>90 (49.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9-12 Grade</td>
<td>40 (54.1)</td>
<td>34 (45.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diploma and higher</td>
<td>10 (37.0)</td>
<td>17 (63.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband educational status</td>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>112 (51.6)</td>
<td>105 (48.4)</td>
<td>0.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-4 Grade</td>
<td>72 (51.4)</td>
<td>68 (48.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-8 Grade</td>
<td>95 (50.5)</td>
<td>93 (49.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9-12 Grade</td>
<td>66 (46.2)</td>
<td>77 (53.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diploma and higher</td>
<td>24 (42.9)</td>
<td>32 (57.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household size</td>
<td>1-3 house hold size</td>
<td>114 (54.0)</td>
<td>97 (46.0)</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4-5 house hold size</td>
<td>176 (49.7)</td>
<td>178 (50.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;5 house hold size</td>
<td>79 (44.1)</td>
<td>100 (55.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household wealth tertile</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>110 (50.5)</td>
<td>108 (49.5)</td>
<td>0.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>159 (48.8)</td>
<td>167 (51.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td>100 (50.0)</td>
<td>100 (50.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household food security status</td>
<td>Unsecured</td>
<td>151(50.2)</td>
<td>150(49.8)</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secured</td>
<td>220(49.7)</td>
<td>223(50.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated time to reach health institution</td>
<td>&lt; 30 minutes</td>
<td>77(50.0%)</td>
<td>77 (50.0%)</td>
<td>0.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30-60 minutes</td>
<td>147 (49.8)</td>
<td>148 (50.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 60 minutes</td>
<td>145 (49.2)</td>
<td>150 (50.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>&lt;=1 live birth</td>
<td>109(48.0)</td>
<td>118(52.0)</td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-4 live births</td>
<td>230(50.9)</td>
<td>222(49.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;=5 live births</td>
<td>30(46.2)</td>
<td>35(53.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravidity</td>
<td>&lt;=2 pregnancy</td>
<td>58(49.6)</td>
<td>59(50.4)</td>
<td>0.876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-4 pregnancy</td>
<td>232(50.2)</td>
<td>230(49.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;=5 pregnancy</td>
<td>79(47.9)</td>
<td>86(52.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Knowledge on optimal nutrition and health
Participants who had good knowledge of nutrition and health proportions were comparable between intervention groups (30.9%) and control groups (35.7%) at baseline measurements. However, at the endpoint of the study period, participants who had good knowledge of nutrition and health among the intervention group were 53.2%, while among the control group it was 39.0% (p < 0.001) (Figure 2).

Attitude towards optimal nutrition and health
Similarly, participants who had a favorable attitude towards nutrition and health proportions were almost the same between the intervention group (32.5%) and the control group (30.1%) at baseline. However, at the endpoint of the study period, participants who had a favorable attitude towards nutrition and health among the intervention group were 43.3%, while those in the control group were 28.8% (p < 0.001) (Fig 3).

Specific nutrition and health practices
1. Quantity of food
There was no significant difference at base line measurement between the control and intervention groups in the quantity of food-related issues during this pregnancy (p>0.05). However, there was a significant difference in the consumption of additional food during pregnancy (44.1% versus 68.5%, p<0.001) and the absence of food sharing (8.3% versus 27.2%, p<0.0001) at the end of the study compared to the intervention and control groups, respectively (Table 2).  
2. Quality of food
There was no significant difference at baseline measurement between the control and intervention groups related to the quality of food (p>0.05). However, at the endpoint measurement, a significant increase was seen in the case of consumption of a variety of foods (15.1% VS 29.0%, p<0.001); utilization of iodized salt (18.3% VS 50.8%, p<0.001), appropriate utilization (52.9% VS 86.2%, p<0.001) and appropriate storage of iodized salt (58.8% VS 81.4%, p<0.001). Similarly, there was a significant decrease in avoidance of important foods (because of taboos) during this pregnancy (10.0% VS 26.6%, p<0.001) at endpoint
measurements between the control and intervention groups, respectively (Table 2).

3. Iron/folate supplements
There was no significant difference at base line measurement between the control and intervention groups related to micronutrient intake (p>0.05). At the endpoint measurements, a significant difference was observed in iron/folate tablet supplementation at the endpoint measurements (59.9% VS 81.5%, p<0.001) between the control and intervention groups, respectively. However, in this study, there was no significant difference between the control and intervention groups for the duration of iron/folate supplementation (30.9% VS 34.0%, p=0.622) (Table 2).

4. Disease prevention and treatment related practices
There was no significant difference at baseline measurement between the control and intervention groups related to disease prevention and treatment practices (p>0.05). A significant difference was observed between the control and intervention groups in the number of pregnant women who started ANC visits (62.44% versus 80.1%, p<0.001), number of pregnant women who followed ANC at least 4 times (15.1% versus 31.9%, p<0.001) at the end point measurements. However, no significant difference was seen in the number of pregnant women who went to health institutions for help after developing illness (especially fever and parasitic related diseases) (40.8% VS 39.1%, p = 0.719) and those pregnant women who drank only treated water during this pregnancy (26.6 % VS 32.8%, P = 0.065) (Table 2).

5. Supportive life style and Care related practices
At baseline measurement, there was no significant difference observed between the control and intervention groups related to supportive lifestyle and care practices (p>0.05). Similarly, at the endpoint of the study, pregnant women made no significant differences in food and health decisions (70.2% versus 68.8%, p = 0.691) or support from family or community (89.2% versus 91.9%, p = 0.209). However, a significant difference was seen between the control and intervention groups in getting enough rest during this pregnancy (73.4% versus 80.9%, p = 0.014) (Table 2). Generally, this study revealed that there was a significant difference between the control and intervention groups in the overall optimal nutrition and health practices at the end point of the study (39.0% VS 62.6%, p<0.001) (Table 2).

### Table 2: Nutrition and health related practices of pregnant women at baseline and endpoint among intervention and control groups, Ambo District, Ethiopia, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Category</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>End point</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantity of food</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ate additional foods during this pregnancy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>218(58.1)</td>
<td>219(58.4)</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>157(41.9)</td>
<td>156(41.6)</td>
<td>255(68.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharing of food</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>31(8.3)</td>
<td>25(6.7)</td>
<td>0.612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>344(91.7)</td>
<td>350(93.3)</td>
<td>271(72.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality of food</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ate variety of food</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>142(37.9)</td>
<td>137(36.5)</td>
<td>0.706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>233(62.1)</td>
<td>238(63.5)</td>
<td>108(29.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kind of salt used</td>
<td>Non iodized</td>
<td>304(81.1)</td>
<td>305(81.3)</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iodized</td>
<td>71(18.9)</td>
<td>70(18.7)</td>
<td>189(50.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added time of iodized salt during cooking of food</td>
<td>Appropriately utilized</td>
<td>21(29.6)</td>
<td>22(31.4)</td>
<td>0.813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inappropriately utilized</td>
<td>50(70.4)</td>
<td>48(68.6)</td>
<td>26(13.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of storage (If iodized)</td>
<td>Covered</td>
<td>49(69.0)</td>
<td>39(55.7)</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anywhere un covered</td>
<td>22(31.0)</td>
<td>31(44.3)</td>
<td>35(18.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food avoided during this pregnancy (food taboos)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>245(65.3)</td>
<td>255(68.0)</td>
<td>0.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>130(34.7)</td>
<td>120(32.0)</td>
<td>99(26.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Micronutrient supplements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemented iron/folate during pregnancy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>159(42.4)</td>
<td>165(44.0)</td>
<td>0.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>216(57.6)</td>
<td>210(56.0)</td>
<td>303(81.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of supplementation</td>
<td>≤ 3 months</td>
<td>119(55.1)</td>
<td>115(54.8)</td>
<td>0.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 3 months</td>
<td>97(44.9)</td>
<td>95(45.2)</td>
<td>103(34.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Factors associated with optimal nutrition and health practices at the endpoint of the study among pregnant women

Study group (being in the intervention group), residence, age of the respondent, occupation of the respondents, occupation of the husband, respondent educational status, husband educational status, household size, gravida, number of Antenatal care visit, gestational age, estimated time to reach health institution, food security status, knowledge about nutrition and health and attitude towards nutrition and health were found significant at p-value <=0.25 in bi-variable analysis. However, Study group (being in the intervention group), respondent educational status (being 5-8 Grades), husband educational status (being secondary and above education), estimated time to reach health institution, food security status, and knowledge about nutrition and health were found significantly associated with optimal nutrition and health practices in multivariable binary logistic regression analysis (p<0.05) (Table 3).

Being in the intervention group was found to be significantly associated with optimal nutrition and health practices. Pregnant women who received intervention were 2 times higher odds of optimal nutrition and health practices compared to pregnant women who were in the control group (AOR=2.051,95%CI: 1.318-3.192). Respondent education (being 5-8 Grades) is significantly associated with optimal nutrition and health practices. Those pregnant women who attended 5-8 Grades were 52.6% less likely to practice optimal nutrition and health as compared to pregnant women who had no formal education (AOR=0.474, 95%CI: 0.231-0.974). Similarly, husband education is significantly associated with optimal nutrition and health practices. Those pregnant women whose husbands had secondary and higher education were 3.152 times more likely to have optimal nutrition and health practices compared with those with no formal education (AOR=3.152, 95%CI: 1.406-7.070). Estimated time to reach a health institution was significantly associated with optimal nutrition and health practices. The study showed that the odds of optimal nutrition and health practices among pregnant women who traveled 30-60 minutes and greater than 60 minutes to get care from a health institution were 38.6% and 53.2% less likely as compared to pregnant women who traveled less than 30 minutes (AOR=0.614, 95%CI: 0.342-1.104) and (AOR=0.468, 95%CI: 0.288-0.762) respectively. The study revealed that those pregnant women who had good knowledge of optimal nutrition and health had 2.187 times higher odds of optimal nutrition and health practices than their counterparts (AOR=2.187, 95%CI: 1.356-3.528) (Table 3).
Table 3: Bivariate and Multivariate binary Logistic Regression Analysis for factors associated with nutrition and health Practice among Pregnant women at endpoint in Ambo district, Ethiopia, 2018, (n=744)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Nutrition and Health practice</th>
<th>COR(95% CI)</th>
<th>AOR(95%CI)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Optimal</td>
<td>Sub-optimal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study group</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>2.654(1.974-3.569)</td>
<td>2.051(1.318-3.192)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2.351(1.597-3.461)</td>
<td>1.585(0.770-3.259)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of the respondent</td>
<td>18-24 Years</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25-34 Years</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>0.597(0.422-0.845)</td>
<td>0.828(0.442-1.550)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;35 Years</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.497(0.267-0.925)</td>
<td>1.377(0.429-4.413)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondents’ Occupation</td>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>House wives</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>0.388(0.194-0.775)</td>
<td>1.209(0.304-4.806)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Merchants/ Daily laborers</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.901(0.372-2.181)</td>
<td>2.479(0.495-12.432)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0.300(0.125-0.720)</td>
<td>0.730(0.154-3.458)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband Occupation</td>
<td>Employed</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Merchants</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.488(0.252-0.943)</td>
<td>0.431(0.129-1.442)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>0.274(0.162-0.461)</td>
<td>0.538(0.170-1.705)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Daily laborers</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.501(0.275-0.913)</td>
<td>0.437(0.137-1.391)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent educational status</td>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-4 Grade</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>1.367(0.936-1.996)</td>
<td>0.760(0.414-1.393)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-8 Grade</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1.479(1.015-2.155)</td>
<td>0.474(0.231-0.974)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary and above</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9.508(5.157-17.529)</td>
<td>2.452(0.797-7.545)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Husband educational status</td>
<td>No formal education</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-4 Grade</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1.089(0.705-1.684)</td>
<td>1.306(0.682-2.499)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5-8 Grade</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.779(1.197-2.646)</td>
<td>1.525(0.790-2.946)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary and above</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>3.905(2.593-5.883)</td>
<td>3.152(1.406-7.070)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household size</td>
<td>1-2 HH</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-4 HH</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>0.713(0.447-1.138)</td>
<td>0.762(0.371-1.566)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;=5HH</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>0.490(0.312-0.770)</td>
<td>0.795(0.343-1.845)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH food security status</td>
<td>unsecured</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secured</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>0.491(0.365-0.661)</td>
<td>0.759(0.343-1.457)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussing
This study has documented the effect of BCC on optimal nutrition and health practices. To the best of our knowledge, this is one of the first cluster randomized controlled community trials (CRCCT) to evaluate the effect of BCC through the health development army on optimal nutrition and health practices. From this study, BCC had a significant effect on optimal nutrition and health practices in the intervention group compared with the control group. Even if it is difficult to compare with a similar target group and topic, this is in line with a cross-sectional study done in the Shabelle zone, Somali region of eastern Ethiopia that found BCC brings change to infant and young child feeding (IYCF) practices. The results confirmed that in order to be effective, BCC needs to be performed using health development armies to bridge the gap between knowledge and practice [35]. This suggests that BCC is an important tool to maintain the behavior of pregnant women in improving optimal nutrition and health practices among pregnant women [36]. Similarly, the study done in Indonesia revealed that providing nutrition and reproductive health education improves the practices of pregnant women [37].

Our intervention is also supported by health behavior change communication theory, the ‘Integrative Model of Behavioral Prediction’, which reports a strong intention of a person to perform a behavior, accompanied by the necessary skills and abilities to perform it, and under a conducive environment results in the expected behavior change [38]. This behavior change communication message, other than theoretical aspect it also includes a practical demonstration (visual, interactive and skillful) and since its participatory nature engages the pregnant women involved on preparing a nutritionally diversified and balanced diet, motivated to take iron/folete, follow disease prevention and treatment practices and also a need of support from the family and community. Behavior change communication through health development Army is an affordable; does not require any food supplementation and suitable intervention since conducted at the community level to improve optimal nutrition and health practices of pregnant women and more likely to be sustainable in resource-poor settings including Ethiopia.

The other difference between intervention and control groups regarding the optimal nutrition and health practice of pregnant women might be that the nutrition education delivered by the health care system (i.e., for control) might not be comprehensive enough within the ANC setting due to time management constraints. This is supported by a systematic review on the processes and outcomes of antenatal care that found ANC education sessions were conducted hastily and in less supportive ways due to a lack of time and inadequate skills [39]. Furthermore, we conducted our intervention in their community by grouping pregnant women, which resulted in a more facilitated discourse to promote communication and involvement among the pregnant women. Moreover, this finding had the implication that following close and supportive supervision for health development armies by health professionals, who were used as implementers of the intervention, resulted in improvement of optimal nutrition and health practices of pregnant women.

This study revealed that those pregnant women who attended 5-8 grades were less likely to practice optimal nutrition and health as compared to pregnant women who had no formal education. This finding is supported by the study done in Ghana that, those pregnant adolescents who did not go to school are more likely to be associated with good eating habits than those who attended school [40]. Since it is difficult to give the possible reasons, there is a need for further studies to ascertain contributory factors.

According to this study, husband education is significantly associated with optimal nutrition and health practices. The likelihood of optimal nutrition and health practices increased as the education level of the husbands increased. This study is congru-
ment with the study done in Dakar, Senegal on factors influencing nutritional practices among mothers, which found that husband education levels were positively correlated with nutritional practices of mothers [41]. Similarly, this finding was consistent with study done in in Gedeo zone, southern Ethiopia on dietary practices and its determinant among pregnant women that revealed respondents husband with formal education were more odds of good dietary practice than those without formal education [15]. This study is also supported by the study done in Harar, Ethiopia, where the risk of malnutrition doubled among women with illiterate husbands compared with those with literate husbands [42]. This finding implies that a husband's educational attainment is clearly related to optimal nutrition and health practices of pregnant women.

This finding revealed that the odds of optimal nutrition and health practices among pregnant women who traveled 30-60 minutes and greater than 60 minutes to get care from health institution were less likely as compared to pregnant women who travel less than 30 minutes. This finding is consistent with the study done in Tigray, Ethiopia, that mothers reported the unavailability of health facilities close to their locality was a key barrier to utilizing available services from health institution [43]. This has an implication that pregnant women are forced to either travel long distances to get health services or otherwise don’t access the service given at health institution including nutrition and/or health related issues.

In this study knowledge had shown significant association with optimal nutrition and health practice during pregnancy. This finding is supported by studies done in different parts of Ethiopia like Misha Woreda [44], Addis Ababa [45], and West Gojjam [32]. The reason behind the similarity might be due to the fact that when women are knowledgeable about optimal nutrition and health, they may be aware of the consequences of suboptimal nutrition and health practices on their fetus, as well as on themselves, and they will be more inclined to practice optimal nutrition and health-related behaviors. This implies that, though knowledge is not behavior, it determines the practice through motivating mothers to make changes that could maximize optimal nutrition and health practices.

Moreover, participants who were in the intervention group had a more favorable attitude towards optimal nutrition and health than the control group. This study is in line with the study done among pregnant women in Indonesia that revealed providing nutrition and reproductive health education through small groups with interactive methods improves the attitudes of pregnant women [37].

Limitation
This study admits the following limitations: due to the nature of the intervention, allocation concealment was not achievable; nonetheless, the participants, health development armies, and data collectors were blinded to the study hypothesis; besides, there was no research on the health development army's BCC's effect on pregnant women's nutritional and health practices, making it difficult to make direct comparisons.

Conclusions
This study demonstrated that behavior change communication (BCC) through women's development army is effective in improving optimal nutrition and health practices of pregnant women. Behavior change communication through health development Army is an affordable and suitable intervention to improve knowledge, Attitude and practices of optimal nutrition and health of pregnant women. Therefore, to improve the optimal nutrition and health practices of pregnant women, BCC through the health development army is recommended.
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