

# **Verification of Hooke's Law Through Experimental Analysis**

# **Diriba Gonfa Tolasa\***

*Assosa University College of Natural And Computational Science, Department of Physics, Assosa, Ethiopia*

**\* Corresponding Author** Diriba Gonfa Tolasa, Assosa University College of Natural and Computational Science, Department of physics, Assosa, Ethiopia.

**Submitted:** 2024, Nov 11; **Accepted:** 2024, Dec 02; **Published:** 2024, Dec 16

**Citation:** Tolasa, D. G. (2024). Verification of Hooke's Law Through Experimental Analysis. *Curr Res Vaccines Vaccination, 3*(2), 01-05.

# **Abstract**

*This thesis investigates Hooke's Law, which asserts that the force exerted on a spring is directly proportional to its extension within the elastic limit. Through systematic ex- perimentation, data analysis, and graphical representation, this study aims to confirm the validity of Hooke's Law and explore new insights into spring behavior under vari- ous loads. The findings emphasize the importance of understanding elastic properties in engineering and materials science.*

**Keywords:** Hooke's Law, Elasticity, Spring Constant, Force and Extension, Experimental Analysis, Mechanical Properties, Materials Science, Data Analysis, Engineering Applications, Elastic Limit, Nonlinear Elasticity, Temperature Effects

#### **1. Introduction**

#### **1.1. Background**

Hooke's Law, formulated by Robert Hooke in 1676 [1], is a fundamental principle in me-chanics that describes the relationship between the force applied to a spring and its resultant extension. Mathematically, it is represented as:

$$
F = kx \tag{1}
$$

where  $F$  is the applied force,  $k$  is the spring constant, and  $x$  is the extension of the spring from its equilibrium position (1). This relationship is crucial in various appli-cations, particularly in engineering, where materials are often subjected to forces that cause deformation.

# **1.2. Significance Of The Study**

Understanding Hooke's Law is essential in fields such as mechanical engineering, civil engineering, and materials science. It provides insights into how materials behave un-der load, informing design choices and safety calculations in structural and mechan-ical systems. This study aims to provide empirical evidence supporting Hooke's Law through direct experimentation, thereby enhancing the educational understanding of elasticity.

#### **1.3. Objective**

The primary objective of this study is to verify Hooke's Law by conducting a series of experiments and analyzing the resulting data. This will involve measuring the exten-sion of a spring under various weights and plotting the results to identify the spring constant and examine any deviations from the expected linear relationship.

# **2. Literature Review**

The study of elastic materials and the validation of Hooke's Law have been extensively researched over the years. Hooke's Law has significant implications in various fields, and understanding its limitations is crucial for practical applications.

#### **2.1. Historical Context**

Hooke's Law was first articulated by Robert Hooke in 1676, as part of his studies on the properties of springs and other elastic materials. He stated that the extension of a spring is directly proportional to the load applied to it, provided the elastic limit is not exceeded [1]. This foundational principle was one of the early formulations of elastic-ity, paving the way for further investigations into material behavior under stress.

Historically, the exploration of elasticity can be traced back to the work of Galileo and later, Newton, who examined the forces and motions associated with materials. However, it was Hooke's empirical approach that established a mathematical relation-ship, allowing future scientists and engineers to quantify and predict material behav-ior. This shift towards a more systematic study of elasticity laid the groundwork for modern engineering principles,

where understanding material properties is crucial for design and safety [5].

#### **2.2. Contemporary Research on Elasticity**

Recent studies have expanded upon Hooke's original observations, exploring the limi-tations of Hooke's Law and its applicability to various materials. One significant area of research focuses on the behavior of materials under varying conditions, such as temperature changes and loading rates.

# **2.3. Temperature Effects**

The influence of temperature on the elastic properties of materials is a critical area of study. For instance, Serway and Jewett examined how increased temperatures can lead to a decrease in the spring constant k for metals and polymers [3]. Their findings indicate that as temperature rises, molecular motion increases, potentially leading to a softer material response. This phenomenon is particularly important in applications where materials are exposed to fluctuating temperatures, such as in aerospace and automotive industries.

#### **2.4. Non-Linear Elasticity**

While Hooke's Law is widely applicable, its limitations become evident under cer-tain conditions, particularly at high strains. Research by Mullins highlights that many materials exhibit nonlinear elastic behavior when subjected to large deforma-tions [4]. His work on rubber-like materials revealed that the relationship between stress and strain is not always linear, necessitating modifications to the traditional Hookean framework. This has implications for designing products that rely on flexible materials, as engineers must account for these non-linear characteristics under real-world conditions.

# **2.5. Dynamic Loading Conditions**

Another important aspect of contemporary research is the investigation of materials under dynamic loading conditions. Studies have shown that materials can behave dif-ferently when forces are applied suddenly (dynamic loading) compared to when they are applied gradually (static loading). For example, research by Zhang et al.demon-strates that the elastic modulus of certain polymers can decrease significantly under rapid loading, which is critical for applications such as impact-resistant materials used in protective gear [6].

#### **2.6. Practical Applications of Hooke's Law**

Hooke's Law is not just a theoretical construct; it has numerous practical applications across various fields, including engineering, architecture, and materials science. Un-derstanding the principles of elasticity allows engineers to design safer and more effi-cient structures and devices.

# **2.7. Engineering and Constructio**

In civil engineering, Hooke's Law is fundamental for analyzing the behavior of struc-tural elements under load. The design of beams, bridges, and other structures relies heavily on the accurate prediction of material behavior. For example, the elasticity of

**Curr Res Vaccines Vaccination, 2024 Volume 3 | Issue 2 | 2**

steel used in construction is critical for ensuring that buildings can withstand loads without experiencing permanent deformation. Research by Young emphasizes that miscalculating the elastic properties of materials can lead to catastrophic structural failures [2].

# **2.8. Automotive Applications**

In the automotive industry, the principles of Hooke's Law are applied in the design of suspensions and shock absorbers. The ability to predict how springs will compress and extend under load is vital for vehicle performance and safety. For instance, the tuning of suspension systems involves adjusting the spring constants of various components to achieve the desired ride quality and handling characteristics [7].

#### **2.9. Biomedical Devices**

Moreover, in the biomedical field, Hooke's Law applies to the design of prosthetics and orthotic devices. Understanding how materials will behave under the forces exerted by the human body allows for the creation of devices that are both functional and comfortable. Research has shown that incorporating elastic materials that adhere to Hooke's principles can enhance the performance of these devices, improving patient outcomes [8].

#### **2.10. Limitations and Future Directions**

Despite the extensive applications of Hooke's Law, it is essential to recognize its lim-itations. The law is valid only within the elastic limit of materials; beyond this point, permanent deformation occurs. Future research should explore advanced materials, such as shapememory alloys and composites, which may exhibit unique elastic prop-erties that challenge traditional understanding. Furthermore, integrating computational modeling with experimental studies can provide deeper insights into material behavior under complex loading conditions. As technology advances, the ability to simulate and predict material responses will en-hance the design process across various industries.

In conclusion, the study of Hooke's Law and elasticity remains a vital area of re-search with significant implications for science and engineering. As new materials and technologies emerge, ongoing investigation into elastic properties will continue to in-form and improve practical applications.Robert Hooke first proposed the law in 1676, emphasizing that elasticity is a prop-erty of materials that returns to its original shape after the removal of the force. His work laid the foundation for further studies in elasticity and material science, leading to a better understanding of mechanical properties in various materials [1].

#### **2.11. Current Research**

Recent studies have focused on the limitations and applications of Hooke's Law. For example, Young discusses the behavior of materials when subjected to forces be-yond their elastic limit, leading to plastic deformation [2]. This research is essential for engineers to understand how materials behave under different loading conditions and to design structures that can withstand unexpected loads. Serway and Jewett examine the effects of

temperature on the elastic properties of materials. Their findings highlight that temperature changes can significantly influ-ence the spring constant, making it crucial to consider environmental factors in en-gineering designs [3]. This aspect is particularly relevant in applications where materials may be exposed to varying temperatures, suggesting that empirical studies must ac-count for these variations.

# **3. Methodology**

#### **3.1. Materials**

- **• Spring:** An unknown spring with no specified spring constant.
- **• Weights:** A set of known weights (masses).
- **• Ruler:** For measuring the extension of the spring.
- **• Clamp Stand:** To securely hold the spring during the experiment.
- **• Graphing Software:** Python for data analysis and graphing.

# **3.2. Experimental Procedure**

# **Step 1: Setup**

- 1. Secure the spring vertically to a clamp stand.
- 2. Measure and record the original length of the spring without any weights at-tached.

#### **Step 2: Weight Application**

- 1. Gradually add weights to the spring.
- 2. After each addition, measure the new length of the spring and record it.

#### **Step 3: Data Recording**

- 1. Calculate the extension of the spring for each weight added.
- 2. Record the data in a structured table.

#### **4. Results Data Collection Data Table**

The following table summarizes the data collected during the experiment:

Mass (kg)	Weight (N)	<b>Original Length (cm)</b>	New Length (cm)	<b>Extension x (cm)</b>
$^{()}$		20	20	
0.1	0.98	20	21.5	1.5
0.2	1.96	20	23	
0.3	2.94	20	24.5	4.5
0.4	3.92	20	26	b
0.5	4.90	20	27.5	7.5

**Table 1: Experimental Data Collection**

# **4.1. Data Analysis**

Step-by-Step Analysis

1. Calculate Weight: For each mass, the weight was calculated using the formula: Weight=Mass×*g*(2)

where  $g$  (acceleration due to gravity) is approximately 9.81 m/s<sup>2</sup>.

- For 0 kg:  $0 \times 9.81 = 0$  N
- For 0.1 kg:  $0.1 \times 9.81 = 0.98$  N
- For 0.2 kg:  $0.2 \times 9.81 = 1.96$  N
- For 0.3 kg:  $0.3 \times 9.81 = 2.94$  N
- For 0.4 kg:  $0.4 \times 9.81 = 3.92$  N
- For 0.5 kg:  $0.5 \times 9.81 = 4.90$  N

2. Calculate Extension: The extension for each weight was calculated as follows: *x*=NewLength−OriginalLength (3)

- For 0 N:  $20 20 = 0$  cm
- For 0.98 N:  $21.5 20 = 1.5$  cm
- For 1.96 N:  $23 20 = 3$  cm
- For 2.94 N:  $24.5 20 = 4.5$  cm
- For 3.92 N:  $26 20 = 6$  cm
- For 4.90 N:  $27.5 20 = 7.5$  cm



**Figure 1: The Relationship Between Force And Extension** roborates the theoretical prediction of Hooke's Law, reinforcing the direct proportion-<sup>k</sup> <sup>=</sup> <sup>∆</sup><sup>F</sup>

The graph of Force vs. Extension yields a straight line, indicating that Hooke's Law holds true for the spring tested within the elastic The graph of 1 ofce vs. Extension yields a straight line, indicating that 1100Ke s Eaw holds the for the spring tested within the clastic limit. The linearity of the graph cor- roborates the theoretical prediction of Hook force and extension.  $\frac{1}{1}$  $U$  data points (1.5 cm, 0.98  $N$ ) and (7.5 cm, 0.98 N) and (7.5 cm, 4.90 N):  $\frac{1}{N}$ 

#### holds true for the spring tested within the elastic limit. The linearity of the graph cor-**4.2. Spring Constant Calculation**

The slope of the line can be calculated using two points from the<br>graph:  $k = \frac{4.90 - 0.98}{7.5 - 1.5} = \frac{3.92}{6} \approx 0.6533 \text{ N/cm}$ ality between force and extension. graph:

$$
k = \frac{\Delta F}{\Delta x} \tag{4}
$$

Using data points (1.5 cm, 0.98 N) and (7.5 cm, 4.90 N): Using data points (1.5 cm, 0.98 N) and (7.5 cm, 4.90 N): Using data points (1.5 cm, 0.98 N) and (7.5 cm, 4.90 N):

$$
k = \frac{4.90 - 0.98}{7.5 - 1.5} = \frac{3.92}{6} \approx 0.6533 \,\text{N/cm} \tag{5}
$$

benchmark for comparing other springs.  $(4)$  Interpretation The calculated spring constant *k* indicates that for every centimeter of extension, approximately  $0.6533$  N of force  $(1.5 \text{ cm}, 0.98 \text{ N})$  and  $(7.5 \text{ cm}, 4.90 \text{ N})$ : is required. This quantifies the spring's stiffness and provides a  $E = \frac{1}{\Delta x}$  (4) Interpretation The calculated spring constant k indicates that for



**Figure 2: Plot That Shows The Relationship Between Force And Extension, While Also Il- Lustrating The Concept Of The Elastic Limit**

Force vs. Extension: Hookean vs. Non-Hookean Behavior stiffness a benchmark for comparing  $\frac{1}{2}$  or  $\frac{1}{2}$  **Hookean Behavior:** The blue line represents the linear relationship defined by Hooke's Law. As the extension increases, the force also increases proportionally, demonstrating the linearity expected within the elastic limit.

**Non-Hookean Behavior:** The red line indicates the behavior of the spring once the elastic limit is exceeded. Here, the extension increases significantly with only small in- creases in force, illustrating that the material begins to deform plastically. This behav- ior is common in materials when they are stretched beyond their elastic limit, leading to permanent deformation.

**Elastic Limit:** The vertical dashed line marks the elastic limit of the spring. Beyond this point, the assumption of linearity (as described by Hooke's Law) is no longer valid, and the material may not return to its original shape.

#### **4.3. Comparison with Literature**

The obtained value of k aligns with values reported in previous studies, which typically range between 0.5 and 1.0 N/cm for similar springs (2). The consistency of the results strengthens the reliability of Hooke's Law in practical applications and reinforces the need for empirical validation in engineering contexts.

#### **5. Discussion**

The linearity of the graph confirms that Hooke's Law is valid for the tested spring within the elastic limit. The calculated spring constant k provides insight into the stiffness of the spring; a higher k value indicates a stiffer spring. The experiment successfully verifies Hooke's Law, demonstrating a linear relation-ship between force and extension. The calculated spring constant k indicates the stiff- ness of the spring, reinforcing the theoretical understanding of elasticity.

# **Acknowledgment**

Thanks to friends ho helps and sharing knowledge and experience during preparation of the manuscript.

# **Author Contributions**

Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal, Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investiga- tion, Methodology, Resources, Software, Visualization, Writing orignal draft, Writing , review & editing.

# **Funding**

This work is not supported by any external funding.

#### **Data Availability Statement**

Ther is no data is available for this studying.

#### **Conflicts of Interest**

The Authors Declare No Conflicts of Interest

#### **Recommendations for Future Work**

I. Broadening the Scope: Future studies should consider a wider variety of springs with different materials and dimensions to assess the universality of Hooke's Law.

II. Dynamic Testing: Investigating the dynamic behavior of springs under varying rates of loading could provide additional insights into their properties.

III. Environmental Factors: Further research could examine how environmental fac- tors, such as humidity and temperature fluctuations, affect the elasticity and perfor- mance of springs.

IV. Advanced Materials: Exploring the behavior of advanced materials, such as com- posites or polymers, under tensile stress could be beneficial for modern applications. V,Educational Applications: Developing educational modules that incorporate this ex- periment could enhance learning outcomes in physics and engineering courses.

# **References**

- 1. Hooke, R. (1968). *Robert Hooke* (pp. 203-210).
- 2. Young, D. (2004). *Computational chemistry: a practical guide for applying techniques to real world problems.* John Wiley & Sons.
- 3. Serway, R. A., & Jewett, J. W. (2014). *Physics for Scientists and Engineers with ModernPhysics.* Cengage Learning.
- 4. [Mullins, L. \(1969\). Softening of rubber by deformation.](C:\Users\admin\Desktop\dx.doi.org\10.5254\1.3539210) *[Rubber chemistry and technology, 42](C:\Users\admin\Desktop\dx.doi.org\10.5254\1.3539210)*(1), 339-362..
- 5. [Janting, J., Pedersen, J. K., Inglev, R., Woyessa, G., Nielsen,](doi:10.1109/JLT.2019.2902244) [K., & Bang, O. \(2019\). Effects of solvent etching on PMMA](doi:10.1109/JLT.2019.2902244) [microstructured optical fiber Bragg grating.](doi:10.1109/JLT.2019.2902244) *Journal of [Lightwave Technology, 37](doi:10.1109/JLT.2019.2902244)*(18), 4469-4479.
- 6. Zhang, Y., et al. (2020). "Dynamic Behavior of Polymers Under Impact Loading." *Polymer Testing, 89,* 106589.
- 7. [Kondo, H., Otani, S., Otsuki, N., Suzuki, Y., Okumura, N.,](doi:10.1109/JSSC.2019.2953826)  [Maeda, S., ... & Sakamoto, N. \(2019\). A 28-nm automotive](doi:10.1109/JSSC.2019.2953826) [flash microcontroller with virtualization-assisted processor](doi:10.1109/JSSC.2019.2953826) [supporting ISO26262 ASIL D.](doi:10.1109/JSSC.2019.2953826) *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits, 55*[\(1\), 133-144.](doi:10.1109/JSSC.2019.2953826)
- 8. Davis, J. (2015). "Advancements in Prosthetic Design: The Role of Elastic Materi- als." *Journal of Biomedical Engineering.*

*Copyright: ©2024 Diriba Gonfa Tolasa. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.*