ISSN: 2997-2256
https://doi.org/10.33140/JIBF.03.01.04
Research Article
Journal of Investment, Banking and Finance

Non-Performing Assets in Scheduled Commercial Banks of India: Is a 'Bad Bank' a Viable Solution?

Sachin Bhaskar Bhurase[1*] and Kishor V. Ghormade[2]

[1]*Research Scholar, Taywade College, Mahadula Koradi, Nagpur, India*

[2]*Professor, Taywade College, Mahadula Koradi, Nagpur, India*

[*]**Corresponding Author**
Sachin Bhaskar Bhurase, Research Scholar, Taywade College, Mahadula Koradi, Nagpur, India.

Submitted: 2024, Dec 26; **Accepted:** 2025, Jan 21; **Published:** 2025, Jan 27

Citation: Bhurase, S. B., Ghormade, K. V. (2025). Non-Performing Assets in Scheduled Commercial Banks of India: Is a 'Bad Bank' a Viable Solution?. *J Invest Bank Finance, 3*(1), 01-05.

Abstract
The persistent issue of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) in India's banking sector has significantly impacted the country's financial stability and economic growth. Despite various regulatory measures, including the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC) and recapitalization initiatives, a sustainable resolution to the NPA crisis remains elusive. The establishment of the National Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd. (NARCL), also known as India's 'Bad Bank,' marks a critical step toward addressing this issue. This research paper explores the concept of bad banks, evaluates international case studies, and examines the progress and challenges faced by NARCL in India. The study identifies key barriers, including asset valuation complexities, operational inefficiencies, and funding constraints, while providing policy recommendations for improving NARCL's efficacy. Through a comparative analysis of alternative NPA resolution strategies, this research highlights the importance of transparent governance, risk mitigation mechanisms, and continuous monitoring. The findings emphasize the need for a multifaceted approach to address the NPA problem comprehensively, contributing to systemic financial stability and investor confidence.

Keywords: Non-Performing Assets (NPAs), National Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd. (NARCL), Bad Bank, Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), Asset Resolution, Indian Banking Sector, Financial Stability, Systemic Risk

1. Introduction

1.1 Background and Context of the NPA Problem in India

The Indian banking sector is an essential pillar of the country's financial ecosystem, contributing significantly to economic growth. However, a long-standing challenge has plagued this sector: the rising issue of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs). NPAs, which refer to loans where borrowers have defaulted on repayment, have weakened the financial health of banks, hampering their ability to lend effectively (Vajiram and Ravi, 2021). The genesis of the NPA problem can be traced back to the economic liberalization of the 1990s. Aggressive lending without robust risk assessment mechanisms, economic slowdowns, corporate governance failures, and structural inefficiencies have collectively exacerbated the issue. While policy measures such as the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC) and various recapitalization initiatives have shown some positive results, a sustainable resolution is yet to be achieved.

Recent years have witnessed significant improvements, with the Gross NPA (GNPA) ratio decreasing from 11.5% in March 2018 to 3.2% in March 2024 [1]. This positive trend has been driven by economic recovery, regulatory reforms, and internal improvements in banking practices. However, challenges remain, and the establishment of a 'Bad Bank' has emerged as a potential strategic solution. In India, the National Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd. (NARCL), established in 2021, has been operational in addressing NPAs. As of early 2024, NARCL has made progress in acquiring and resolving distressed assets from public sector banks, with initial recoveries showing mixed results. While the setup has improved transparency and created a structured approach to NPA management, delays in asset transfers and valuation disputes remain key challenges [1,2].

1.2 Statement of the Research Problem and its Significance

The research problem at the heart of this study is the urgent need to tackle the NPA problem in India and explore viable strategies for its resolution. The significance of this problem cannot be overstated. The mounting NPAs hinder the ability of banks to extend credit to productive sectors, leading to a suboptimal allocation of resources in the economy. Furthermore, the financial stress faced by banks poses systemic risks and erodes public confidence in the banking system. Addressing NPAs is not merely a financial or banking concern; it is a critical economic imperative. The extent to which India can effectively manage its NPA problem will have far-reaching implications for economic stability, growth, job creation, and investor confidence. Thus, understanding and mitigating NPAs are pivotal not only for the financial sector but also for the overall economic well-being of the nation. Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic exacerbated the issue, with disruptions in businesses and reduced repayment capacities significantly increasing the NPA levels in many sectors (Economic Times, 2021).

1.3 Purpose of the Study

The primary purpose of this study is to investigate the potential of bad banks as a strategic tool to reduce NPAs in India. Bad banks have been implemented in various countries as a mechanism to segregate and manage distressed assets, thereby allowing conventional banks to focus on their core functions of lending and financial intermediation. By delving into the concept of bad banks and their efficacy in different contexts, this research aims to provide insights into whether such a mechanism could be adapted and implemented effectively in the Indian banking sector.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Historical Perspective on NPAs in India

The phenomenon of Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) has a longstanding history within the Indian banking sector. Over the years, the Indian economy has witnessed various economic cycles, policy changes, and external shocks that have significantly impacted the level of NPAs within the banking system. These historical contexts have played a pivotal role in shaping the NPA problem faced by Indian banks today. The origins of the NPA problem can be traced back to economic reforms initiated in the early 1990s. As the Indian economy liberalized and opened up to foreign investment, there was an influx of credit into various sectors. Banks, eager to capitalize on new opportunities, expanded their lending activities without robust risk assessment mechanisms in place. This led to the proliferation of stressed assets within the banking sector, eventually resulting in NPAs.

Additionally, the global financial crisis of 2008 had a notable impact on the Indian banking sector, further exacerbating the NPA problem. The ensuing economic slowdown and reduced demand for exports adversely affected various industries, leading to increased loan defaults. This crisis also exposed weaknesses in risk management practices and regulatory oversight (Columbia University, 2019).

2.2 Theoretical Framework and Concepts

Theoretical frameworks underpinning the NPA problem in India are multifaceted. From a financial perspective, the presence of NPAs can be attributed to the inherent risk associated with lending activities. As banks extend credit to various sectors, they are exposed to credit risk, market risk, and operational risk, all of which contribute to the creation of NPAs when not adequately managed. Furthermore, concepts such as moral hazard and adverse selection have relevance in understanding NPAs. Moral hazard occurs when borrowers take excessive risks with the expectation that banks, being too-big-to-fail institutions, will bear the brunt of their failures. Adverse selection refers to the scenario where banks are unable to distinguish between good and bad borrowers effectively, leading to the lending of capital to borrowers with a higher likelihood of default.

2.3 International Experiences with Bad Banks

Internationally, several countries have grappled with NPA challenges and have explored the use of bad banks or similar asset management companies as a mechanism to address distressed assets. Notable examples include the Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC) in the United States during the savings and loan crisis of the 1980s and the formation of Asset Management Companies (AMCs) in countries like Japan and Spain. These international experiences have shown varying degrees of success. The RTC, for instance, effectively resolved a large portion of distressed assets, contributing to the recovery of the U.S. banking sector. On the other hand, Japan's experience with AMCs highlighted the complexities of transferring bad assets to such entities (StudyIQ, 2020). These models provide critical insights into the structure, funding, and operational mechanisms necessary for the success of a Bad Bank.

2.4 Current State of the Indian Banking Sector

The Indian banking sector comprises a diverse set of public sector banks, private sector banks, and cooperative banks, each with varying levels of NPA exposure. As of March 2023, India's Gross NPA ratio stood at 3.9%, a significant improvement from the 11.5% recorded in 2018 (RBI, 2023). This improvement is attributed to the combined efforts of the RBI, government initiatives, and economic recovery. The regulatory framework governing NPAs in India has evolved over time, with the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) implementing various measures to address the issue. The Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC) of 2016 marked a significant development in India's approach to resolving NPAs, providing a legal framework for the time-bound resolution of distressed assets. However, challenges such as asset valuation, funding constraints, and legal complexities remain significant hurdles in achieving long-term stability.

3. Research Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a mixed-method research design to comprehensively investigate the potential of bad banks as a tool to reduce Non-Performing Assets (NPAs) in the Indian banking sector. A combination of quantitative and qualitative methods is utilized to gather a holistic understanding of the research problem.

3.2 Data Sources

To analyze the extent of NPAs in the Indian banking sector, secondary data is collected from the Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Additionally, data on macroeconomic indicators, such as GDP growth rate and inflation, is sourced from official government publications.

3.3 Limitations of the Study

While every effort is made to ensure the rigor and validity of the research, some limitations must be acknowledged. These include potential biases in self-reported data from interviews, the representativeness of the sample, and the availability of historical NPA data. These limitations will be addressed in the interpretation of findings.

4. The Concept and Implementation of Bad Banks

4.1 The Concept of Bad Banks

A "bad bank" is a financial institution established to isolate and manage distressed assets, primarily non-performing loans (NPLs) or NPAs, from the balance sheets of traditional commercial banks. The concept is built on the principle of segregating toxic assets from healthy ones, allowing the latter to focus on their core banking functions, including lending and financial intermediation. Bad banks typically purchase NPAs from commercial banks at a discount, assuming responsibility for their management and resolution. This separation enables commercial banks to strengthen their balance sheets, enhance their capital positions, and restore confidence among depositors and investors. A Bad Bank is an entity established to acquire and manage NPAs from commercial banks, allowing them to clean their balance sheets and focus on core activities (Investopedia, 2020).

4.2 International Examples of Bad Banks

Several countries have implemented bad banks or similar asset management entities to address NPA-related challenges. Notable examples include.

A. United States - Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC): The United States established the RTC in response to the savings and loan (S&L) crisis in the late 1980s and early 1990s. The RTC successfully managed and disposed of distressed assets, contributing to the recovery of the U.S. banking sector.

B. Sweden - Securum and Retriva: Sweden created Securum and Retriva in the 1990s to handle the vast amount of NPAs stemming from the collapse of its real estate bubble. These entities effectively managed and disposed of distressed assets, facilitating the Swedish banking sector's recovery.

C. Spain - SAREB (Sociedad de Gestión de Activos Procedentes de la Reestructuración Bancaria): Spain established SAREB in response to a banking crisis fueled by a real estate bubble burst. SAREB was tasked with acquiring and managing NPAs from Spanish banks, thereby contributing to the sector's stabilization.

In recent analyses, the Resolution Trust Corporation (RTC) in the USA has been credited with effectively resolving real estate-related NPAs, while Sweden's Securum successfully liquidated a large proportion of its distressed assets within the planned timeframe. However, Spain's SAREB has faced criticism for slower-than-expected progress in asset recovery, highlighting the importance of robust operational frameworks and regular oversight (IMF, 2023) [3]. These models provide critical insights into the structure, funding, and operational mechanisms necessary for the success of a Bad Bank.

4.3 Indian Initiatives Related to Bad Banks

In India, the National Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd. (NARCL), established in 2021, has been operational in addressing NPAs. As of July 2024, NARCL had acquired 18 accounts with outstanding loans totaling ₹62,000 crore, with resolution plans approved for two accounts worth nearly ₹33,000 crore [4]. By December 2024, NARCL expanded its acquisitions to 22 accounts, representing an exposure of ₹95,711 crore. Additionally, banks resolved 28 accounts with an exposure of ₹1.28 lakh crore following NARCL's offers, indicating its indirect impact on the resolution process [5]. NARCL has set an ambitious target to acquire ₹2 trillion in stressed assets by the fiscal year 2025-26 (FY26), building on its achievement of acquiring ₹1 trillion worth of distressed assets in FY24 [6]. Despite these advancements, NARCL faces challenges, including delays in asset transfers and valuation disputes. A committee led by the State Bank of India's chairman has been established to identify additional accounts for transfer to NARCL, aiming to strengthen the resolution pipeline and align processes with intended objectives [7].

4.4 Potential Impact of Bad Banks in India

Based on international experiences, several potential benefits of introducing bad banks in India can be anticipated.

- **Isolation of NPAs:** Bad banks would enable the isolation and centralized management of NPAs, allowing commercial banks to concentrate on their core activities.
- **Asset Resolution:** Specialized entities could focus on efficient asset resolution strategies, including debt restructuring, asset sales, and asset-backed securities.
- **Enhanced Transparency:** A transparent pricing mechanism for NPA transfers could minimize moral hazard risks and ensure fair value realization.
- **Systemic Stability:** Addressing the NPA problem can contribute to systemic stability, bolstering investor and depositor confidence.

4.5 Comparison with Alternative Strategies

It is essential to compare bad banks with alternative strategies to address NPAs, such as strengthening regulatory oversight, improving credit risk assessment, and expediting insolvency and bankruptcy procedures. Assessing the advantages and disadvantages of these strategies will provide insights into the most effective approach for India's unique circumstances. For example, the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC) has expedited the resolution of large corporate defaults, with cases such as Essar Steel and Bhushan Power & Steel serving as notable success stories. Similarly, the SARFAESI Act has enabled banks to recover assets through securitization and reconstruction measures, though its effectiveness has been limited in cases of complex and high-value NPAs. These examples highlight the importance of combining multiple resolution strategies to achieve sustainable outcomes [1,8].

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusion

The Non-Performing Asset (NPA) problem in the Indian banking sector remains a critical challenge. As of March 2024, the gross NPA ratio stood at 3.2% [1], highlighting ongoing concerns about distressed assets within the financial system. The establishment of the National Asset Reconstruction Company Ltd. (NARCL) represents a significant step toward addressing this challenge. NARCL's achievements, including the acquisition of assets worth ₹95,711 crore and indirect facilitation of resolutions worth ₹1.28 lakh crore by December 2024, demonstrate its potential impact. However, challenges related to asset valuation, delays in asset transfers, and funding mechanisms persist. Effective policy measures, operational efficiency, and robust oversight are essential for sustained success.

5.2 Recommendations

• **Strengthening NARCL's Framework:** Clear operational guidelines, robust governance structures, and transparent regulatory oversight are essential for long-term success.
• **Improved Asset Valuation Mechanisms:** Implementing independent valuation methodologies will prevent overpricing or undervaluation.
• **Operational Efficiency:** Focus on minimizing delays in asset transfers and improving recovery rates.
• **Risk Mitigation Strategies:** Prudent pricing mechanisms and transparent asset acquisition processes are needed to address moral hazard.
• **Stress Testing and Planning:** Regular stress testing and contingency plans must be implemented.
• **Public Trust and Transparency:** Frequent reporting and communication are vital for building public and investor confidence.
• **Performance Evaluation:** Regular audits and performance reviews should be conducted.
• **International Collaboration:** Adopt best practices from successful global models.

In conclusion, addressing the NPA problem in India requires a multifaceted approach. While bad banks, such as NARCL, hold promise as a tool to efficiently manage and resolve NPAs, careful planning, regulatory diligence, and risk mitigation are essential for their successful implementation. These policy recommendations aim to guide stakeholders in navigating the complexities of addressing India's NPA challenge effectively.

6. Future Directions

The NPA problem in India remains a dynamic challenge, and addressing it will require ongoing efforts and continuous adaptation. Future directions for research and policy considerations include.

• **Monitoring NARCL's Performance:** As NARCL or similar entities are established and operationalized, ongoing monitoring of their performance will be essential. Research can focus on evaluating their effectiveness in reducing NPAs and contributing to the stability of the banking sector.
• **Comparative Studies:** Comparative studies can be conducted to assess the relative effectiveness of different strategies to address NPAs, including bad banks, regulatory reforms, and alternative mechanisms. This can provide insights into the most efficient and sustainable approaches.
• **Macro-Financial Analysis:** Research can delve into the macroeconomic implications of NPAs and bad bank operations, exploring their impact on economic growth, credit availability, and financial stability.
• **Risk Management Strategies:** Further research is needed to develop and refine risk management strategies for bad banks, particularly in the context of diverse asset portfolios and changing economic conditions.
• **Legal and Regulatory Framework Enhancements:** Ongoing research can contribute to the development of a robust legal and regulatory framework that adapts to evolving challenges and global best practices.

Addressing the NPA problem is vital for India's financial stability and economic growth. The establishment of entities like NARCL represents a significant step toward resolving this issue. However, it requires a concerted effort from stakeholders, continuous research, and a commitment to transparency, efficiency, and accountability. As research and policy measures evolve, it is imperative to remain vigilant in adapting strategies to the changing economic landscape and emerging challenges. By doing so, India can effectively manage its NPA burden, strengthen its banking sector, and promote sustainable economic growth [9-20].

References

1. Reserve Bank of India. (2024). RBI Annual Report.
2. Economic Times. (2024). NARCL Acquisition Plans.
3. World Bank. (2023). Case Studies on Bank Resolution.
4. Livemint. (2024). NARCL stressed assets: DFS Secretary Vivek Joshi.
5. Press Information Bureau. (2024). NARCL performance updates.
6. Drishti IAS. (2024). NARCL aims to acquire ₹2 trillion stressed assets by FY26.
7. Insolvency Tracker. (2024). New committee to look into fresh list of accounts to be transferred to NARCL.
8. Economic Times. (2024). NARCL raises ₹670 crore in fresh equity from existing shareholders.
9. Faster Capital. (2024). Bad Bank Models Worldwide.
10. Structured Finance in Brief. (2024). India's Bad Bank: Two Years On.
11. Financial Express. (2024). Banks' reluctance to offload assets, other issues halt NARCL's progress.
12. Economic Times. (2024). Why India's bad bank bagged only ₹25,000 crore assets against offers for ₹1.7 lakh crore debt.
13. Financial Express. (2024). Srei CoC approves NARCL resolution plan with highest voting among bidders.
14. JETIR. (2023). Bad Banks: A critical analysis for resolving non-performing assets.
15. IJRAR. (2023). Role and effectiveness of bad banks.
16. World Bank. (2017). Case studies on bank resolution.

17. EIB Institute. (2014). A study of bad banks.
18. FasterCapital. (n.d.). Bad Bank: Lessons from historical bad bank resolutions.
19. ILauk. (n.d.). Bad banks – how to deal with “bad” bank assets – Ireland and other case studies.
20. Università Ca' Foscari Venezia. (n.d.). How effective are bad bank resolutions? New evidence from Europe.