ISSN: 2690-9189

Review Article

International Journal of Orthopaedics Research

Differentiating Ligament Sprains, Muscle Strains, And Osteoarthritis Through Biomechanical Assessment: Implications For Diagnosis And Treatment.

Nikhil Deep Kolanu*

India

*Corresponding Author
Nikhil Deep Kolanu., India

Submitted: 2024, Mar 18; **Accepted:** 2024, Apr 19; **Published:** 2024, Apr 25

Citation: Kolanu, N. D. (2024). Differentiating Ligament Sprains, Muscle Strains, And Osteoarthritis Through Biomechanical Assessment: Implications For Diagnosis And Treatment. *Int J Ortho Res, 7*(1), 01-05.

Abstract
The distinction between ligament sprains, muscle strains and osteoarthritis can make or break an accurate diagnosis and effective treatment outcomes of a patient. The mechanism of injury in ligament sprains involves motion analysis and joint kinetics that identify the damaged ligaments thus making it possible to tailor rehabilitation protocols for each individual patient, additionally serving as a prevention strategy for injuries. One can detect muscle imbalances or abnormal activation patterns typical of muscle strains through force plate analysis combined with electromyography thus participation in a neuromuscular training program and specific strengthening exercises will help these muscles function better and further reduce the risk of re-injury. For patients diagnosed with osteoarthritis, biomechanical assessment provides insight into abnormal joint loading patterns and kinematics which influence disease progression hence there is a need for interventions such as gait modifications, orthotics or joint protection strategies aimed at reducing pain enhancing the functionality of joints or even decelerating degeneration processes. Clinical professionals should take heed of these biomechanical considerations while planning for all-round therapy which focuses on rectification of musculoskeletal deficiencies underlying this problem thereby optimizing patient outcome.

Keywords: Biomechanical Assessment, Ligament Sprains, Muscle Strains, Osteoarthritis, Diagnosis, Treatment, Motion Analysis, Joint Kinetics, Rehabilitation, Electromyography, Gait Modifications

Introduction

Orthopedic conditions, such as ligament sprains, muscle strains, and osteoarthritis, are common musculoskeletal disorders that can significantly impact an individual's quality of life and physical functionality. Accurate identification and proper treatment of these conditions are necessary for successful management and best patient results. Biomechanical assessment plays a crucial role in differentiating between these pathologies, offering valuable insights into the underlying mechanisms and guiding personalized treatment strategies. Understanding the biomechanical principles governing ligament sprains, muscle strains, and osteoarthritis is fundamental in elucidating the distinct characteristics of each condition. Ligaments are dense connective tissues that stabilize joints and prevent excessive movement, making them susceptible to injury during sudden or forceful movements [1]. Muscle strains, on the other hand, involve the overstretching or tearing of muscle fibers, often resulting from eccentric contractions or repetitive microtrauma [2]. Osteoarthritis, a degenerative joint disease characterized by cartilage breakdown, joint inflammation, and bone changes, is influenced by altered joint mechanics and loading patterns [3].

Advanced biomechanical assessment techniques, such as motion analysis, electromyography, and joint kinetics, offer valuable tools for clinicians to evaluate movement patterns, muscle activation, and joint forces in patients with orthopedic conditions [4]. By examining the biomechanical signatures associated with ligament sprains, muscle strains, and osteoarthritis, healthcare providers can accurately diagnose these pathologies and tailor treatment interventions to address the underlying biomechanical dysfunctions. This article aims to explore the role of biomechanical assessment in differentiating ligament sprains, muscle strains, and osteoarthritis, delineating the implications for diagnosis and treatment in orthopedic practice. By integrating biomechanical insights into clinical decision-making, clinicians can optimize patient care and improve outcomes in individuals with these musculoskeletal disorders.

Biomechanics of Ligament Sprains

Ligament sprains are common orthopedic injuries that result from excessive stretching or tearing of a ligament, which connects bone to bone and provides stability to joints. Biomechanical studies have investigated the mechanisms underlying ligament sprains, such as the forces and loading patterns that lead to ligament injury. One key aspect of the biomechanics of ligament sprains is the role of external forces applied to the joint. For example, sudden changes in direction or impact forces during sports activities can put significant stress on ligaments, leading to sprains. Biomechanical analysis of ligament sprains has shown that certain movement patterns, such as excessive twisting or hyperextension, can increase the risk of ligament injury [5].

Studies have also examined the role of joint biomechanics in ligament sprains. Abnormal joint mechanics, such as altered alignment or joint instability, can increase the risk of ligament injuries. Biomechanical assessments using motion analysis and kinematics have helped identify faulty movement patterns that predispose individuals to ligament sprains [6]. Electromyography (EMG) techniques have also been used to study muscle activation patterns during movements that may contribute to ligament sprains. Weak or imbalanced muscle activation can lead to increased stress on ligaments, making them more susceptible to injury. Biomechanical assessments incorporating EMG data can provide insights into muscle activation patterns that may contribute to ligament sprains [7].

Biomechanics of Muscle Strains

Muscle strains are a common type of musculoskeletal injury that occur when muscle fibers are stretched beyond their normal limits, leading to tears in the muscle tissue. Understanding the biomechanics of muscle strains involves analyzing the forces and mechanical properties involved in muscle contraction, stretching, and injury. Biomechanical studies have provided insights into the mechanisms underlying muscle strains and factors that contribute to their occurrence. One important aspect of the biomechanics of muscle strains is the relationship between muscle lengthening and contraction. Muscle strains commonly happen when muscles lengthen while generating force during eccentric muscle contractions. Biomechanical research has shown that eccentric contractions can lead to increased strain on muscle fibers, making them more susceptible to injury [8].

Additionally, the rate of muscle lengthening and the magnitude of force applied to the muscle play a role in determining the risk of muscle strains. Rapid or excessive lengthening of a muscle, especially under high levels of force, can increase the likelihood of muscle fiber damage. Biomechanical studies have demonstrated that muscle strains are more likely to occur under conditions of high strain rate and mechanical loading [9]. The structure of muscles and the alignment of muscle fibers also impact the likelihood of muscle strains. Muscles with longer fibers or a higher proportion of parallel fibers are more prone to strains compared to muscles with shorter, more pennate fibers. Biomechanical analysis of muscle architecture can help identify structural characteristics that may predispose certain muscles to strains [10].

Biomechanics of Osteoarthritis

Osteoarthritis is a condition in which cartilage breaks down in the joints, causing pain, stiffness, and reduced function in those areas. Biomechanical studies have shed light on the mechanical factors involved in the development and progression of osteoarthritis, as well as the altered joint mechanics that contribute to the symptoms of the disease. One key aspect of the biomechanics of osteoarthritis is the role of abnormal joint loading. Excessive or abnormal mechanical loading of the joint can lead to increased stress on the articular cartilage, contributing to its degeneration over time. Biomechanical analyses have shown that altered gait patterns, joint misalignment, and joint instability can all result in abnormal joint loading, accelerating the progression of osteoarthritis [11].

Furthermore, biomechanical studies have also focused on the changes in joint kinematics and kinetics associated with osteoarthritis. Alterations in joint movement patterns, such as reduced joint range of motion and increased joint stiffness, are common in individuals with osteoarthritis. Biomechanical assessments using motion analysis techniques have revealed these altered movement patterns and the biomechanical consequences on joint function [12]. Additionally, studies have explored the impact of muscle weakness and imbalance on joint mechanics in osteoarthritis. Weak or imbalanced muscle activation around the affected joint can lead to increased joint loading and instability, exacerbating the symptoms of osteoarthritis. Biomechanical assessments incorporating electromyography data have provided insights into muscle activation patterns that may contribute to joint degeneration in osteoarthritis [13].

Differentiating Between Ligament Sprains, Muscle Strains, and Osteoarthritis

Ligament sprains, muscle strains, and osteoarthritis are common musculoskeletal conditions that can cause pain and limitations in movement. While they may share some similarities in symptoms, each condition has distinct characteristics that can help differentiate between them. Ligament sprains occur when ligaments, which are tough bands of tissue that connect bones to each other and provide stability to joints, are stretched or torn. Common symptoms of ligament sprains include pain, swelling, and instability in the affected joint. On the other hand, muscle strains involve damage to the muscle fibers or tendon attachment caused by excessive stretching or contraction of the muscle. Symptoms of muscle strains may include localized pain, swelling, and muscle weakness. Biomechanical factors such as muscle architecture, fiber orientation, and force application play a role in the susceptibility of muscles to strains [14].

Osteoarthritis is a degenerative joint disease characterized by the breakdown of cartilage and changes in bone structure at the affected joint. Typical signs of osteoarthritis comprise of discomfort in joints, rigidity, and limited range of motion. Biomechanical studies have shown that altered joint mechanics, such as abnormal loading patterns and joint instability, can contribute to the development and progression of osteoarthritis [15]. To differentiate between ligament sprains, muscle strains, and osteoarthritis, clinicians may use a combination of clinical assessment, imaging studies, and biomechanical analysis. Clinical signs, symptoms, and mechanisms of injury can provide clues to the underlying condition, while imaging modalities such as MRI can help confirm the diagnosis. Biomechanical assessments, such as motion analysis and joint kinetics, can provide additional information on movement patterns, muscle activation, and joint forces that may be altered in each condition.

Implications for Diagnosis

Biomechanical assessment plays a crucial role in the differentiation of ligament sprains, muscle strains, and osteoarthritis, as these orthopedic conditions have distinct biomechanical signatures that can aid in their diagnosis and management. By utilizing various biomechanical techniques, clinicians can analyze movement patterns, muscle activation, joint mechanics, and forces acting on the musculoskeletal system to differentiate between these conditions. In the case of ligament sprains, biomechanical assessment can help identify abnormal joint mechanics, such as excessive laxity or instability, which are indicative of ligamentous injury. Motion analysis and joint kinetics can provide information on the forces and loading patterns that contribute to ligament sprains, helping clinicians pinpoint the specific ligaments affected and the mechanism of injury [16,17]. Muscle strains, on the other hand, are characterized by excessive stretching or tearing of muscle fibers, often resulting from eccentric contractions. Biomechanical analysis can assess muscle activation patterns, muscle architecture, and the relationship between force and muscle lengthening, which are critical factors in understanding muscle strain mechanisms. Electromyography (EMG) can be used to evaluate muscle activation in real-time during movement tasks to differentiate between healthy and strained muscles [18].

In the case of osteoarthritis, biomechanical assessment can provide insights into altered joint mechanics, loading distributions, and gait patterns that are associated with the condition. Kinematic analysis and joint forces measurements can reveal abnormal movement patterns and joint loading that contribute to cartilage degeneration and osteoarthritic changes. Biomechanical assessment may also help identify compensatory strategies adopted by individuals with osteoarthritis to mitigate pain and functional limitations [19]. By integrating biomechanical assessment techniques, clinicians can differentiate between ligament sprains, muscle strains, and osteoarthritis based on their unique biomechanical characteristics. These assessments can guide treatment strategies, rehabilitation protocols, and preventive measures tailored to the specific biomechanical deviations observed in each condition.

Implications for Treatment

Tailoring treatment approaches based on biomechanical assessment findings can improve the efficacy of interventions for ligament sprains, muscle strains, and osteoarthritis. Targeted exercise programs, manual therapies, and assistive devices can address specific biomechanical deficits, promoting optimal recovery and functional restoration. Identifying abnormal muscle activation patterns through electromyography can guide the implementation of neuromuscular training programs to restore muscle balance and function, reducing the risk of recurrent muscle strains [20]. Understanding abnormal joint loading patterns in osteoarthritis can inform the prescription of orthotic devices and the implementation of joint protection strategies to relieve pain and improve joint mechanics [21]. Incorporating functional rehabilitation exercises based on biomechanical assessments can help restore optimal movement patterns and improve overall joint function, aiding in long-term management of musculoskeletal conditions [22]. Utilizing biomechanical insights to make modifications in running and cutting maneuvers can help reduce the risk of injuries such as anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) tears in athletes [23]. Applying biomechanical knowledge to identify risk factors and implement injury prevention strategies, especially in elite athletes, can help minimize the occurrence of ligament sprains, muscle strains, and joint degeneration [24]. Implications of biomechanics in diagnosis and treatment, interesting studies that provide insights.

Study	Objective	Findings
Zhu Z et al.	Analyzing motion	The typical extent of movement for the knee scheduled for surgery was 24.4°-57.6° while the other knee, which did not have surgery, had a range of motion of 22.5°-71.5°. The control group had a knee range of motion (ROM) of 7.2°-62.4° while walking on level ground. In unilateral KOA patients, the non-surgical limb bears most of the body weight during the sit-to-stand movement, as indicated by a GRFs symmetry of 0.72-0.85 when standing up from a chair.
Slater LV et al.	Compare kinetics of walking after ACL injury	Cohen d effect sizes and 95% confidence intervals were utilized to assess the extent of differences between groups (ACLR versus ACLD, control, or contralateral limb) at all time points. In the ACLD group, the peak knee-flexion angle (Cohen d = -0.41) and external knee-extensor moment (Cohen d = -0.68) were reduced compared to the healthy control group. The ACLR group had smaller peak knee-flexion angle (Cohen d range = -0.78 to -1.23) and external knee-extensor moment (Cohen d range = -1.39 to -2.16) from 10 to 40 months post-ACLR. Decreases in external knee-adduction moment (effect size Cohen's d = -0.50 to -1.23) were observed between 9 and 42 months post-ACLR.

Sakamoto AC et al.	Activation patterns of the gluteus maximus, semitendinosus, and erector spinae muscles among young, healthy individuals.	The muscle activation sequences were alike across the four exercises, beginning with the semitendinosus, then the erector spinae, and finally the gluteus maximus. The gluteus maximus muscle was activated last in hip extension combined with knee flexion ($p<0.0001$), knee extension ($p<0.0001$), and lateral rotation combined with knee flexion ($p<0.05$).
Hurwitz DE et al.	Knee loads during movement using gait measurements.	The adduction moment was found to be the most accurate predictor of the medial lateral ratio of proximal bone mineral content, with an R-squared value of 0.31 and a p-value of 0.003. Including the weight (with a negative coefficient, p=0.0004) and the ratio of average predicted peak force on the medial plateau to predicted peak force on the lateral plateau (with a positive coefficient, p=0.0033) in the regression model greatly improved the prediction of proximal medial lateral bone distribution (R2=0.72, p=0.0001).
Yokoyama S et al.	The factors that describe the motion of the knee, hip, and pelvis during a one-legged squat before surgery for a torn anterior cruciate ligament.	In the injured leg, the maximum knee valgus and flexion angles during a single-leg squat were less than those in the uninjured leg. While performing the single-leg squat, knee valgus and flexion movements were observed as compensatory mechanisms. Specifically, there was a decrease in the knee valgus angle in the leg with an anterior cruciate ligament injury compared to the uninjured leg.
Shakoor N et al.	Evolution pattern of advanced lower extremity OA in a significant clinical group.	In patients with OA who had a second TJR in a different joint, the chance of the joint being on the opposite limb was more than double compared to being on the same limb (hip to knee $P < 0.001$; knee to hip $P = 0.013$). On the other hand, in the group of patients with rheumatoid arthritis, the development of noncognate total joint replacements was unpredictable and no preference for one side over the other was seen in the hip or knee ($P = 0.782$).
Besier TF et al.	The muscle activation patterns around the knee are examined in planned (PP) and unexpected (UN) running and cutting movements, in relation to the external forces on the joint	During unexpected sidestepping tasks, there was a 10-20% increase in net muscle activation, while varus/valgus and internal/external rotation joint moments increased by around 100%.
Willson JD et al	Comparison between females with and without patellofemoral pain in terms of gluteal muscle activity during running.	Women with patellofemoral pain showed slower (P=0.028, effect size=0.76) and lessened (P=0.01, effect size=0.88) gluteus medius activation compared to women without knee pain while running. There was no difference in gluteus maximus activation between groups in terms of both magnitude and timing.
Saragiotto BT et al.	Factors that increase the likelihood of injury and strategies to avoid injury in athletes.	Over-training and incorrect sports techniques were identified as the primary reasons for injuries. Muscle strengthening, nutritional counseling, and guidance were the primary strategies reported for preventing injuries.

Conclusion

Biomechanical assessment plays a critical role in differentiating between ligament sprains, muscle strains, and osteoarthritis, offering valuable insights into the underlying mechanisms of these conditions. By employing techniques such as motion analysis, joint kinetics, electromyography, and force plate analysis, clinicians can enhance accuracy specific biomechanical factors contributing to these musculoskeletal conditions. This detailed understanding enables tailored treatment plans that address the root causes of dysfunction, optimize rehabilitation strategies, and potentially prevent future injuries or degenerative changes.

The array of biomechanical assessment for diagnosing and treating ligament sprains, muscle strains, and osteoarthritis is extensive. Personalized treatment that focuses on particular biomechanical profiles may lead to better effects, more functional abilities and improved symptom management. Incorporation of a biomechanical approach into clinical practice helps individualize patient care, facilitates monitoring of their progress during rehabilitation and ensures long-term musculoskeletal health.

The overall use of biomechanical assessment in the evaluation and management of ligament sprains, muscle strains, and osteoarthritis has been a giant leap forward in musculoskeletal care. By capitalizing on biomechanics, clinicians are able to target certain pesonalized strategies to address specific biomechanical shortcomings that will optimize patient outcomes thus improving the quality of life of those affected by these conditions.

References

1. Frank, C. B., & Jackson, D. W. (1997). Current concepts review-the science of reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament. *JBJS, 79*(10), 1556-76.
2. SantAnna, J. P. C., Pedrinelli, A., Hernandez, A. J., & Fernandes, T. L. (2022). Muscle injury: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. *Revista brasileira de ortopedia, 57,* 1-13.
3. Goldring, M. B., & Goldring, S. R. (2010). Articular cartilage and subchondral bone in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1192*(1), 230-237.
4. Lehman, G. J. (2004). Biomechanical assessments of lumbar spinal function. How low back pain sufferers differ from normals. Implications for outcome measures research. Part I: kinematic assessments of lumbar function. *Journal of manipulative and physiological therapeutics, 27*(1), 57-62.
5. Hewett, T. E., Myer, G. D., Ford, K. R., Heidt Jr, R. S., Colosimo, A. J., McLean, S. G., ... & Succop, P. (2005). Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control and valgus loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female athletes: a prospective study. *The American journal of sports medicine, 33*(4), 492-501.
6. Dicharry, J. (2010). Kinematics and kinetics of gait: from lab to clinic. *Clinics in sports medicine, 29*(3), 347-364.
7. Webster, K. A., Pietrosimone, B. G., & Gribble, P. A. (2016). Muscle activation during landing before and after fatigue in individuals with or without chronic ankle instability. *Journal of athletic training, 51*(8), 629-636.
8. Lieber, R. L., & Fridén, J. (2002). Mechanisms of muscle injury gleaned from animal models. *American journal of physical medicine & rehabilitation, 81*(11), S70-S79.
9. Garrett Jr, W. E. (1990). Muscle strain injuries: clinical and basic aspects. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise, 22*(4), 436-443.
10. Friden, J. A. N., & Lieber, R. L. (1992). Structural and mechanical basis of exercise-induced muscle injury. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 24*(5), 521-530.
11. Andriacchi, T. P., Mündermann, A., Smith, R. L., Alexander, E. J., Dyrby, C. O., & Koo, S. (2004). A framework for the in vivo pathomechanics of osteoarthritis at the knee. *Annals of biomedical engineering, 32,* 447-457.
12. DeVita, P., & Hortobagyi, T. (2000). Age causes a redistribution of joint torques and powers during gait. *Journal of applied physiology, 88*(5), 1804-1811.
13. Alnahdi, A. H., Zeni, J. A., & Snyder-Mackler, L. (2012). Muscle impairments in patients with knee osteoarthritis. *Sports health, 4*(4), 284-292.
14. Hewett, T. E., Myer, G. D., Ford, K. R., Heidt Jr, R. S., Colosimo, A. J., McLean, S. G., ... & Succop, P. (2005). Biomechanical measures of neuromuscular control and valgus loading of the knee predict anterior cruciate ligament injury risk in female athletes: a prospective study. *The American journal of sports medicine, 33*(4), 492-501.
15. Mora, J. C., Przkora, R., & Cruz-Almeida, Y. (2018). Knee osteoarthritis: pathophysiology and current treatment modalities. *Journal of pain research,* 2189-2196.
16. Zhu, Z., Wang, L., Li, D., Wang, H., Jin, Z., Wang, Z., ... & Li, W. (2018). Motion analysis on patients with knee osteoarthritis merged with varus deformity. *Sheng wu yi xue Gong Cheng xue za zhi= Journal of Biomedical Engineering= Shengwu Yixue Gongchengxue Zazhi, 35*(1), 38-44.
17. Slater, L. V., Hart, J. M., Kelly, A. R., & Kuenze, C. M. (2017). Progressive changes in walking kinematics and kinetics after anterior cruciate ligament injury and reconstruction: a review and meta-analysis. *Journal of athletic training, 52*(9), 847-860.
18. Sakamoto, A. C. L., Teixeira-Salmela, L. F., de Paula-Goulart, F. R., de Morais Faria, C. D. C., & Guimarães, C. Q. (2009). Muscular activation patterns during active prone hip extension exercises. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology, 19*(1), 105-112.
19. Hurwitz, D. E., Sumner, D. R., Andriacchi, T. P., & Sugar, D. A. (1998). Dynamic knee loads during gait predict proximal tibial bone distribution. *Journal of biomechanics, 31*(5), 423-430.
20. Yokoyama, S., Fukuda, W., Ikeno, Y., Kataoka, Y., & Horan, S. A. (2021). Lower limb kinematics of single-leg squat performance in patients with anterior cruciate ligament deficiency. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science, 33*(5), 429-433.
21. Shakoor, N., Block, J. A., Shott, S., & Case, J. P. (2002). Nonrandom evolution of end-stage osteoarthritis of the lower limbs. *Arthritis & Rheumatism, 46*(12), 3185-3189.
22. Besier, T. F., Lloyd, D. G., & Ackland, T. R. (2003). Muscle activation strategies at the knee during running and cutting maneuvers. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 35*(1), 119-127.
23. Willson, J. D., Kernozek, T. W., Arndt, R. L., Reznichek, D. A., & Straker, J. S. (2011). Gluteal muscle activation during running in females with and without patellofemoral pain syndrome. *Clinical biomechanics, 26*(7), 735-740.
24. Saragiotto, B. T., Di Pierro, C., & Lopes, A. D. (2014). Risk factors and injury prevention in elite athletes: a descriptive study of the opinions of physical therapists, doctors and trainers. *Brazilian journal of physical therapy, 18*, 137-143.