

Beyond Conservation: Geoparks as Multi-faceted Tools for Scientific Research, Education, and Public Engagement. A Holistic Approach

Susanna Occhipinti*

UNICAM, ANISNVDA, IUGS-COGE, ITALY

*Corresponding Author Susanna Occhipinti, UNICAM, ANISNVDA, IUGS-COGE, ITALY.

Submitted: 2025, Jan 20; Accepted: 2025, Feb 14; Published: 2025, Feb 25

Citation: Occhipinti, S. (2025). Beyond Conservation: Geoparks as Multi-faceted Tools for Scientific Research, Education, and Public Engagement. A Holistic Approach. *Earth & Envi Scie Res & Rev, 8*(1), 01-08.

Abstract

This paper examines the integrated management of Geoparks through a holistic lens, emphasizing the delicate balance between preservation and utilization. The research analyzes three interconnected pillars: geological heritage conservation, scientific research, and educational outreach. Through case studies and empirical evidence, we validate that Geoparks serve as living laboratories for earth sciences, offering unique opportunities for deep time perception and immersive learning experiences in extraordinary contexts that need both preservation and study. The research explores different strategies for scientific investigation and educational engagement and highlights how these areas represent key witnesses of Earth's history and human impact, serving as natural archives that enhance our understanding of geological processes and their influence on human development. Special attention is given to the role of Geoparks in facilitating the comprehension of Earth's complex dynamics and the importance of preserving these outdoor classrooms for scientific research and education. The study also addresses emerging challenges, including climate change impacts and anthropogenic pressure, suggesting adaptive management solutions. This comprehensive approach ensures the long-term viability of Geoparks while maximizing their scientific, educational, and cultural benefits for future generations.

Keywords: Dualistic Approach, Geological Heritage, Conservation, Education, Sustainable Development

1. Introduction

The concept of Geoparks has undergone a remarkable transformation since its inception, evolving from sites primarily focused on geological heritage preservation to dynamic platforms that integrate conservation, education, and sustainable development. Their impact on overall environmental protection extends in various directions and through different perspectives, each worthy of in-depth study and applied research. Italy, with its network of eleven UNESCO Global Geoparks, exemplifies this evolution, demonstrating how these protected areas could serve multiple functions while maintaining their core mission of geological conservation. Geoparks function as essential catalysts for broader ecosystem conservation: beyond preserving geological heritage, these protected areas generate significant conservation spillover effects that benefit entire ecological networks. The distinctive geological formations within Geoparks create unique environmental conditions that drive the evolution of specialized flora and fauna. This specialization leads to the development of complex food webs and ecosystems specifically adapted to

n as essential catalysts allow wildlife to move between different habitats, particularly crucial in an era of climate change-induced migrations. They can also contribute to what we might term "preventive conservation": by

as an interconnected whole.

fostering understanding of the long timescales of geological processes, they promote a deeper appreciation for the permanent impact of environmental damage and the importance of preemptive protection rather than reactive conservation measures.

challenging geological conditions. By highlighting how geological

processes influence biodiversity, soil formation, and water systems,

Geoparks help visitors and local inhabitants understand how

geological conservation supports broader biodiversity preservation

This understanding, in turn, leads to more effective and compre-

hensive conservation efforts. Moreover, Geoparks can function

as "bridge habitats" - areas that connect different ecosystems and

facilitate species movement. The varied topography and geologi-

cal features typical of Geoparks often create natural corridors that

Furthermore, Geoparks might serve as models for sustainable tourism development. Their focus on geological heritage naturally lends itself to low-impact tourism activities such as hiking, photography, and educational programs, demonstrating how conservation and economic development can coexist harmoniously. Looking ahead, Geoparks have the potential to become crucial sites for studying and preserving geodiversity, an often-overlooked aspect of environmental conservation. As we deepen our understanding of how geological diversity supports biological diversity, these areas become increasingly important for comprehensive conservation strategies.

From an educational perspective, Geoparks offer unique opportunities for environmental literacy. Unlike traditional protected areas, they explicitly connect Earth's physical processes with biological conservation, helping visitors understand how geological heritage influences current biodiversity and why both must be protected. These interactions create unique opportunities for both passive appreciation and active engagement with natural heritage. The management of these spaces requires a sophisticated dual approach that balances tourism and educational objectives. While passive tourism generates essential economic benefits and basic environmental awareness, structured educational programs emerge as transformative forces in environmental conservation. These educational initiatives can successfully convert casual observers into active participants in conservation efforts, creating a more sustainable and effective approach to environmental protection. This research examines how different engagement modalities within Geoparks can be effectively managed to serve both educational and tourism objectives while maintaining their unique characteristics. The study wishes to demonstrate how structured educational programs, when properly integrated with tourism management, can create more resilient and effective approaches to environmental conservation and sustainable development.

1.1 Previous Research: An Integrated Review of Tourist and Educational Approaches

The evolution of Geopark research has been marked by several seminal works that have shaped our understanding of these complex spaces. The foundational work by Dowling and Newsome introduced the ABC Approach to Geopark assessment and development, integrating abiotic, biotic, and cultural elements into a cohesive management framework. Their holistic perspective, which emphasizes the interconnections between physical landscape, living systems, and human cultural heritage, has become fundamental to how Geoparks are studied and managed worldwide. Their work also established comprehensive methodologies for assessing tourism impacts on geosites and strategies for managing tourist flows while maintaining site integrity [1]. Wimbledon & Smith-Meyer provided extensive documentation of geoheritage conservation across Europe, with particular attention to educational programs. Their research included detailed case studies of successful school-Geopark partnerships and outlined best practices for developing educational resources that connect geological heritage to national curricula [2]. They demonstrated how different European countries have

effectively integrated Geopark resources into their educational systems.

Hose introduced the "3G's" concept (Geosites, Geoconservation, and Geotourism) for modern geotourism, with significant emphasis on educational aspects [3]. His frameworks for interpreting geological heritage for different audiences have proven particularly valuable for developing age-appropriate educational materials and approaches. Farsani et al. developed a sophisticated methodology for integrating scientific research with educational outcomes in Geopark settings [4]. Their Research-Education Interface Model provides a structured approach to knowledge transfer, demonstrating how scientific discoveries can be effectively translated into educational experiences. Their work emphasized the importance of integrating local communities into tourism management and developing sustainable tourism initiatives. Stoffelen & Vanneste contributed significantly to bridging gaps between tourism and educational objectives [5]. Their integrative approach provided practical frameworks for developing programs that serve both tourist and educational needs while maintaining scientific integrity. Van Loon emphasized the importance of experiential learning approaches, advocating for hands-on field activities and differentiated educational pathways for various target groups [6].

Building on this educational foundation, Magagna et al. contributed significant insights into practical implementation. Their research focused on designing geological-educational itineraries and effective use of multimedia tools for geological interpretation [7]. They also addressed the crucial aspect of training Geopark guides and developing age-appropriate educational materials. More recent developments include Brilha and Gray's quantitative approach to geoheritage assessment, providing systematic frameworks for evaluating sites' multiple values, including scientific significance and educational potential [8]. Chan et al.'s case studies of Hong Kong Geoparks offered valuable insights into community involvement strategies and practical frameworks for building lasting partnerships between Geoparks and local communities.

Cayla & Martin's work on digital interpretation strategies has become increasingly relevant as technology plays a greater role in visitor experience. Their methodology demonstrates how digital tools can be integrated into Geopark interpretation at various levels of complexity and engagement, while maintaining focus on direct experience with geological features. These methodological approaches share several common threads [9]. They all recognize the complexity of Geopark systems and the need for integrated management approaches. They emphasize the importance of systematic assessment and evaluation while acknowledging the need for flexibility in responding to local conditions. Perhaps most importantly, they stress the critical role of effective communication and interpretation in making geological heritage accessible and meaningful to diverse audiences.

The evolution of these methodologies reflects a growing understanding of Geoparks as multi-faceted systems requiring sophisticated management approaches. From early work on integrated assessment to recent developments in digital interpretation and community engagement, we see continuous refinement in Geopark management and development strategies. The practical application of these methodologies has demonstrated their value across different contexts, though successful implementation often requires adaptation to local conditions and needs.

1.2 Geoparks: A Singular Type of Protected Area

Geoparks represent a fundamental environmental value, yet they differ significantly from other protected natural areas in several key aspects. While natural parks and reserves are typically characterized by immediately visible biological features (flora and fauna) that naturally engage public interest, Geoparks are distinguished by their geological characteristics - rocks, minerals, geological and geomorphological phenomena - which may not immediately convey their exceptionality or need for protection to the public. This fundamental difference shapes how these areas must be managed and promoted. The unique character of Geoparks manifests through three primary functions.

1.3 Scientific Research Function

Scientific research forms the foundation of Geopark operations. Here, researchers and scientists conduct meticulous studies to document and understand geological formations, processes, and phenomena. This work ranges from discovering new scientific aspects to deepening understanding of known features. The research conducted in Geoparks is crucial not only for advancing academic knowledge but also for practical applications in conservation and resource management. Scientists' detailed studies of rock formations, mineral deposits, structural and geomorphological processes provide valuable insights into Earth's past and present geological activities, informing both conservation strategies and educational programs.

1.4 Environmental Education Role

Environmental education takes on particular significance in Geoparks, primarily engaging students, and teachers in active learning experiences. Unlike traditional classroom settings, Geopark education involves direct participation in understanding and exploring geological phenomena. Teachers and students become active participants in the learning process, often engaging in field studies and hands-on research activities. This direct involvement helps develop a deeper appreciation for Earth sciences and environmental conservation, making complex geological concepts accessible and interesting to diverse audiences.

1.5 Tourist Experience and Management

The tourist dimension of Geoparks presents both opportunities and challenges. While these areas offer visitors the chance to experience high-quality natural environments with proper facilities and services, managing growing visitor numbers requires careful balance. The tourist experience encompasses both recreational and educational aspects, allowing visitors to enjoy natural beauty while learning about geological processes. However, increasing popularity necessitates careful management to prevent environmental degradation. Many parks now face the challenge of balancing accessibility with preservation, leading to considerations of visitor capacity limits and entrance fees. Encouragingly, while visitor numbers continue to grow exponentially, there's an increasing trend toward more informed and scientifically interested tourism, particularly among younger generations. This evolution in tourist behaviour suggests a positive shift toward more sustainable and educated forms of geological tourism, where visitors not only seek recreation but also demonstrate genuine interest in understanding and preserving these unique geological heritage sites.

The success of a Geopark lies in effectively balancing these three functions - scientific research, education, and tourism - while ensuring the preservation of its geological heritage for future generations. This delicate balance requires continuous adaptation of management strategies and close cooperation among scientists, educators, tourism operators, and park administrators. Through this integrated approach, Geoparks can fulfil their multiple roles while maintaining their fundamental mission of geological heritage preservation.

2. An open-air laboratory

The scientific importance of Geoparks lies in their ability to preserve and study multiple layers of natural complexity. A Geopark might contain important petrographic features that tell the story of Earth's ancient past while simultaneously hosting active geological processes that demonstrate ongoing planetary evolution. For example, areas of active erosion or sedimentation serve as real-time laboratories for understanding landscape evolution, while underlying rock formations reveal the history of similar processes that occurred millions of years ago, consistent with J. Hutton's (late 18th century) and C. Lyell's (Principles of Geology - 1830) principle of uniformitarianism. Geological variety has historically functioned as a powerful catalyst for biological evolution. The relationship between geological foundations and biological systems constitutes a critical nexus of scientific inquiry. The unique chemical and physical properties of different rock types create specific soil conditions that influence plant communities, which in turn affect the entire ecosystem, creating unique microhabitats that support specialized flora and fauna. This cascade effect demonstrates how geological diversity directly contributes to biodiversity, creating complex networks of ecological relationships that scientists can study and monitor over time.

This complexity becomes even more evident in specialized food webs. Limestone outcrops in Geoparks often host rare cave-dwelling species and particular plant communities adapted to alkaline conditions. In areas characterized by ophiolite outcrops, Geoparks contain high concentrations of heavy metals like nickel, chromium, and cobalt. This challenging chemical environment has led to the evolution of specialized plant species that can tolerate or even thrive in these conditions. These endemic plants have developed specific adaptations to handle toxic minerals. The unique plant communities then create distinct habitats supporting specialized invertebrates, such as metal-tolerant insects that evolved to feed on hyperaccumulating plants, and predators that subsequently adapted to handle these metal-rich prey. Biogeochemical feedback circuits illustrate this complexity, as biological activity influences rock composition through weathering processes, while rock composition simultaneously drives biological evolution. This creates intricate feedback mechanisms operating across various temporal and spatial scales.

Cultural-environmental coevolution adds another layer of complexity. Human societies have historically adapted to local geological conditions while modifying landscapes, creating new selective pressures for flora and fauna. This ongoing interaction between human activities and natural systems demonstrates how Geoparks serve as living laboratories for understanding socio-ecological relationships. Temporal dynamics within Geoparks operate on multiple simultaneous scales. While geological processes may unfold over millions of years, ecosystem changes can occur within decades or even years, and social transformations can happen even more rapidly. Understanding these different time scales is crucial for effective conservation and management strategies. Climate change research finds a natural home in Geoparks, where scientists can study both the historical record preserved in geological formations and observe current changes in real-time. By comparing current observations with geological evidence of past climate changes, researchers can better understand current environmental transformations and their potential impacts.

Through this comprehensive approach to research and conservation, Geoparks significantly contribute to our understanding of Earth systems while preserving these valuable sites for future scientific investigation. Their protection ensures access to both the physical record of Earth's history and the ongoing processes that continue to shape our planet. (Occhipinti) [10].

2.1 Tourism and Public Engagement in Geoparks: Balancing Access with Preservation

The touristic approach to Geoparks demands a delicate balance between accessibility and conservation, where visitor experiences focus on observation and appreciation while maintaining meaningful engagement with geological heritage. These experiences are supported by interpretive elements that make complex geological concepts accessible to the general public, representing a somewhat passive approach that fundamentally differs from scientificeducational methods based on active scientific inquiry. Skilled interpreters are essential in mediating the relationship between visitors and geological features. These guides bridge the gap between scientific complexity and public understanding through their ability to transform technical information into compelling narratives that connect with visitors' daily experiences and inspire wonder about Earth's processes. Beyond geological knowledge, guides must possess the ability to communicate intricate concepts in an engaging and comprehensible manner. (Gordon, J. E.) [11].

The emotional connection to geological heritage plays a crucial role in tourist engagement. Visitors' initial response to dramatic landscapes or unique geological formations is often emotional

rather than intellectual. This emotional involvement can serve as a gateway to deeper understanding, such as when the awe inspired by viewing an imposing glacial valley leads to curiosity about ice ages and climate change. However, while this emotional response creates learning opportunities, it doesn't necessarily transform into a desire for deeper knowledge. The comprehension of deep time presents a particular challenge in tourist interpretation. The human mind struggles to grasp geological timescales of millions or billions of years. This challenge can be addressed through visual analogies and metaphors using common references, relating deep time to more comprehensible measurement scales like our day or year, thereby making these vast timeframes more relatable to the average visitor. Observing ongoing geological processes adds another dimension to the tourist experience. Witnessing active phenomena such as erosion, sedimentation, or thermal activity helps visitors understand that geology encompasses not only ancient history but also current and dynamic processes. This understanding could lead to greater appreciation for conservation efforts and the need to protect these ongoing processes. It also contributes to an awareness that evolutionary processes, though varying in time and intensity, are structural and physiological phenomena of landforms whose evolution and dynamics are part of Earth's past, recent, and future history.

Access management becomes crucial in balancing tourist engagement with conservation, particularly for intrinsically fragile geological features susceptible to degradation from human contact. This requires careful visitor management strategies that must include viewing platforms, designated trails, or restricted access areas, while maintaining the quality of the visitor experience through thoughtful design and implementation of these necessary restrictions. Sustainable tourism practices are essential for longterm conservation. The goal is to ensure that tourist activities do not compromise the geological features while still providing meaningful experiences for visitors and contributing to local communities' economic sustainability. While modern tourism trends like photography and social media sharing can help promote Geoparks, they must be managed through clear guidelines to prevent site degradation. The aim of the tourist experience should be to instill in visitors a sense of responsibility and stewardship. Even if they cannot acquire deep scientific understanding, tourists should leave with an appreciation for the importance of geological heritage and the necessity of its preservation. This contributes to broader public support for conservation efforts and sustainable tourism practices while developing a more informed and engaged citizenry concerned with Earth's geological legacy.

2.2 Critical Perspectives on Geopark Education: Building Environmental Literacy through Geological Heritage

Geopark education faces several significant challenges identified through research and practical experience. A fundamental challenge lies in balancing scientific rigor with accessibility for audiences of varying educational backgrounds. Educators and Geopark managers must present complex geological concepts meaningfully and engagingly for different age groups and education levels, without oversimplifying science to the point of inaccuracy.

2.3 Active Learning and Scientific Inquiry

The educational potential of Geoparks extends far beyond traditional classroom learning, transforming geological sites into dynamic living laboratories where students become active participants in scientific discovery. Unlike passive observation typical of tourist experiences, the educational approach in Geoparks emphasizes hands-on engagement, critical thinking, and scientific inquiry. In this active learning environment, students assume the role of scientists, conducting real field investigations and collecting data. They engage in practical exploration activities, learning to use scientific instruments, developing sampling methodologies, and analysing geological samples in their natural context. Through these activities, they develop an understanding of not only geological processes but also the interconnections between geology, biology, and human activities.

2.4 Teacher Development and Environmental Awareness

Teachers have a significant responsibility in promoting environmental awareness through Geopark education, a particularly challenging task given that Geoparks are less familiar to the public than traditional nature parks. Geological aspects of environmental education are often neglected in school curricula, creating a significant gap in understanding Earth systems and their role in environmental conservation. Developing geo-environmental competencies among teachers becomes fundamental to bridging this educational gap. Educators must not only understand geological concepts but also possess the ability to effectively integrate them into their teaching practice. This requires continuous professional development and support from geoscience experts who can help teachers gain confidence in communicating geo-environmental content.

2.5 Assessment and Curriculum Integration

The integration of Geopark education with formal school curricula remains a significant challenge. Although Geoparks offer excellent experiential learning opportunities, their educational programs often exist parallel to formal education systems rather than integrating with them. This can lead to treating Geopark visits as isolated experiences rather than integral components of students' learning journey. Developing formative assessment tools represents another crucial aspect. Assessment in this context moves away from traditional testing toward more authentic evaluation methods that measure not only students' understanding of geological concepts but also their development of broader environmental competencies. These might include field reports, research presentations, or contributions to collaborative research projects.

2.6 Technology Integration

The evolving role of technology in Geopark education offers opportunities to enhance without replacing physical experiences. Students can use GPS devices for geological mapping, digital sensors for environmental monitoring, and mobile applications for species identification. However, the integration of these tools must be carefully evaluated to ensure they support rather than diminish the direct experience of geological heritage.

Earth & Envi Scie Res & Rev, 2025

2.7 Educational Challenges

The educational use of geoparks presents several complex challenges despite their significant potential as learning environments. While their methodology emphasizes direct observation and data collection, linking geological heritage to environmental education, this approach has faced some criticism from educators who consider it too specialized for general education. They argue that this highly focused approach might not adequately serve students who don't have a specific interest in Earth sciences.

The integration of technology in geopark education has received mixed reviews. While many praise its innovative nature, critics express concern about an over-reliance on technological tools, suggesting that this might distance students from real-world geological experiences. This creates a delicate balance between leveraging modern educational tools and maintaining authentic connections with the natural environment. Some geoparks have developed noteworthy interdisciplinary approaches, successfully integrating geological heritage with history, literature, and art. However, researchers have identified a significant challenge in maintaining educational quality across such diverse subject areas, particularly when educators lack specialized geological training. This highlights the need for comprehensive teacher training programs and support systems. The case of volcanic risk education presents another interesting challenge. While these programs effectively combine practical geological studies with disaster preparedness education, critics point out that such an intense focus on risks might overshadow other valuable geological learning opportunities. Moreover, there are concerns about the potential psychological impact on younger students, as excessive emphasis on natural hazards might generate unnecessary anxiety.

2.8 Future Directions

The future development of Geoparks requires a comprehensive approach that integrates digital innovation, professional development, curriculum enhancement, and community engagement. Digital integration represents a crucial frontier for Geopark development, with emerging technologies offering new possibilities for education and engagement. Virtual field trip protocols can standardize remote learning experiences, while augmented reality applications enable self-guided exploration of geological features. Real-time environmental monitoring systems can transform educational experiences by allowing students to observe and analyse ongoing geological processes. Furthermore, digital platforms facilitating resource sharing between Geoparks can enhance collaborative learning and standardize educational practices across different sites. However, the excessive reliance on and prioritization of new technologies, often considered essential for attracting younger generations, risks disconnecting them from direct environmental experiences, outdoor laboratory practices, and most importantly, may diminish their sense of wonder that is inherent in nature itself when properly presented.

Professional development for educators emerges as another critical area for future growth. Certification programs for Geopark educators can ensure consistent teaching quality across sites, while mentorship networks can facilitate knowledge transfer between experienced and new teachers. Specialized training programs addressing different educational levels can help teachers adapt geological concepts to various age groups and learning capabilities. Regular workshops focusing on new teaching methodologies can keep educators current with pedagogical innovations and ensure the continuous evolution of educational practices. Curriculum integration represents a fundamental challenge that requires innovative solutions. The development of modular curriculum units that can adapt to different education systems allows for flexible implementation across various contexts. New assessment tools must measure both scientific knowledge and environmental awareness, reflecting the dual mission of Geopark education. Cross-disciplinary teaching materials can help integrate geological education with other subjects, making it more relevant and accessible to diverse student populations. Guidelines for incorporating local knowledge with scientific education can ensure that curriculum materials remain culturally relevant while maintaining scientific rigor.

Community engagement stands as a cornerstone for future Geopark development. Citizen science programs can facilitate long-term environmental monitoring while fostering community involvement in scientific research. Local ambassador programs can strengthen connections between Geoparks and their communities, while intergenerational learning initiatives can preserve and transmit both scientific knowledge and cultural heritage. Community-based conservation projects can ensure that local stakeholders participate actively in preservation efforts, creating a sustainable model for long-term geological heritage protection. The implementation of these future directions requires careful coordination between various stakeholders, including park managers, educators, scientists, and community leaders. Success depends on maintaining flexibility in approach while ensuring consistency in educational quality and conservation standards. Regular evaluation and adjustment of these initiatives will ensure their effectiveness and relevance as Geoparks continue to evolve as centres for education, research, and community engagement. These developments must occur within a framework that recognizes both the unique characteristics of individual Geoparks and the need for standardized practices across the global Geopark network. The future of Geoparks lies in their ability to balance local specificity with global consistency, creating educational experiences that are

both universally relevant and locally meaningful. Through these coordinated efforts, Geoparks can enhance their role as centres for geological education while strengthening their connections to local communities and contributing to global scientific understanding.

3. The Dual Nature of Geopark Engagement: Active Learning versus Passive Tourism

The contrasting approaches to Geopark engagement - active educational participation and passive tourism - represent fundamentally different paradigms in how these unique geological heritage sites are experienced and utilized. While both approaches serve important functions, their impacts on conservation, education, and community development differ significantly in both scope and longevity.

Passive tourism in Geoparks typically manifests as brief encounters with geological features, characterized by superficial observation and limited engagement with underlying scientific principles. Visitors in this mode tend to focus on aesthetic appreciation, photography, and reading basic interpretive materials. While this form of tourism generates immediate economic benefits through entrance fees, accommodation, and local commerce, its educational impact remains limited. Tourists might gain a basic appreciation for landscape beauty, but often miss the deeper understanding of geological processes and their environmental significance. In contrast, active educational engagement transforms the Geopark experience into a dynamic learning environment. This approach involves direct participation in scientific activities, data collection, and long-term research projects. Students and participants become citizen scientists, developing hands-on experience with geological investigation methods and contributing to actual scientific understanding. Notable examples include sites where visitors can study geological history through exposed layers, or locations where dynamic processes like plate tectonics become tangibly visible. Notable examples of passive tourism's challenges can be observed worldwide: the dramatic sandstone pillars of Zhangjiajie in China, used in Avatar as a fantastic floating rocks, become mere photographic backdrops, Cappadocia's fairy chimneys attract millions for Instagram-worthy balloon rides without deeper geological understanding, and sites like the Giant's Causeway and Yellowstone's geysers face similar challenges where spectacular phenomena don't always translate into geological comprehension.

Examples of Passive Tourism Impact



Zhangjiajie National Forest Park - China



Fairy Chimneys: Cappadocia - Turkey



Yellowstone Old Faithful Geyser- USA

Earth & Envi Scie Res & Rev, 2025

In contrast, active educational engagement transforms the Geopark experience into a dynamic learning environment. This approach involves direct participation in scientific activities, data collection, and long-term research projects. Students and participants become citizen scientists, developing hands-on experience with geological investigation methods and contributing to actual scientific understanding.

Examples of Active Educational Engagement



Syncline form in Ladakh - India



Obsidian" flow"-Rangarbing ytra-Iceland



Erta ale eruption – African rift - Ethiopia

Two Italian examples, Mont Avic and Gran Paradiso in Valle d'Aosta, are interesting examples how Geoparks could balance scientific education with tourism appeal. Mont Avic, with its ophiolitic complexes marking ancient ocean floor exposure, offers unique opportunities for understanding plate tectonics, while Gran Paradiso showcases glacial phenomena and spectacular landscapes. In both parks the need to strengthen their educational components through specialized guide training and institutional partnerships, while maintaining successful tourism infrastructure, is a reality.

The economic implications of these different approaches diverge significantly. While passive tourism tends to create seasonal, standardized service sector jobs, active educational programs generate opportunities for specialized roles in research, education, and environmental monitoring. The latter approach often leads to more sustainable economic development, with benefits more evenly distributed throughout the year and more deeply integrated into the local community. Environmental impact presents another crucial distinction. Passive tourism often concentrates visitor pressure on popular sites, requiring substantial infrastructure and potentially causing localized environmental stress. Active educational programs, conversely, tend to distribute activities more evenly across the landscape and often incorporate conservation activities into their programming. Participants in active programs are more likely to understand and respect environmental sensitivities, leading to more sustainable site use.

The temporal dimension of engagement differs markedly between the two approaches. While passive tourism typically involves brief visits with limited follow-up, educational programs often extend over longer periods and create ongoing connections with the site. This temporal difference affects both the depth of learning and the potential for long-term conservation impact. Active participants often become advocates for geological heritage protection, extending their experience's influence well beyond their direct involvement. Looking to the future, the challenge lies in finding ways to integrate elements of active engagement

into more traditional tourist experiences while maintaining the economic benefits of mass tourism. This might involve developing hybrid programs offering various engagement levels, from brief but meaningful educational experiences for casual visitors to indepth research opportunities for more committed participants. The success of Geoparks in achieving their conservation and educational objectives ultimately depends on finding the right balance between these different modes of engagement. While passive tourism provides essential economic support for conservation efforts, active educational programs create the deep understanding and commitment necessary for long-term preservation of geological heritage.

4. Conclusion

The management of Geoparks requires balancing two primary modes of engagement: active educational participation and passive tourism. Each approach serves distinct but complementary functions in achieving conservation and development objectives. Active educational engagement transforms Geoparks into dynamic learning environments where participants engage directly with scientific activities, data collection, and research projects. This approach fosters deep understanding and creates lasting connections between participants and the landscape. The educational model generates specialized employment opportunities in research and environmental monitoring, while distributing activities more evenly across the protected area. Passive tourism, while generating immediate economic benefits through entrance fees and local commerce, typically involves briefer encounters focused on aesthetic appreciation. Though this approach may result in more superficial engagement with the site's geological significance, it provides essential financial support for conservation efforts and can serve as an entry point for deeper involvement.

Looking ahead, Geopark development should integrate traditional local knowledge with scientific research, revealing historical patterns of environmental change and sustainable resource management practices. This integration can provide valuable insights for modern conservation practices while preserving important cultural heritage. Adaptive management strategies must be developed to respond flexibly to increasing pressures from climate change and human activity. These strategies require comprehensive monitoring systems that can detect environmental changes at multiple scales, from geological processes to ecosystem responses, allowing management approaches to evolve with changing conditions. The connection between conservation, education, and sustainable development needs strengthening through participatory research and citizen science initiatives. Educational programs can be designed to directly support conservation efforts, while conservation strategies should incorporate educational opportunities and sustainable development goals. Building resilient community partnerships ensures local stakeholders are meaningfully engaged in management decisions and benefit directly from conservation efforts. These partnerships should focus on creating economic opportunities that directly benefit local communities while ensuring conservation strategies align with community needs and values. Through this balanced approach, Geoparks can continue to serve as models for integrated conservation that recognizes the interconnectedness of Earth's physical and biological systems while promoting sustainable development and environmental awareness [12-18].

References

- 1. Newsome, D., & Dowling, R. (2018). Geoheritage and geotourism. *In Geoheritage* (pp. 305-321). Elsevier.
- 2. Wimbledon, W. A., & Smith-Meyer, S. (2012). *Geoheritage in Europe and its conservation* (Vol. 405). Oslo: ProGEO.
- 3. Hose, T. A. (2012). 3*G*'s for modern geotourism. Geoheritage 4: 7–24.
- 4. Farsani, N. T., Coelho, C., & Costa, C. (2011). Geotourism and geoparks as novel strategies for socio-economic development in rural areas. *International Journal of Tourism Research, 13*(1), 68-81.
- 5. Stoffelen, A., & Vanneste, D. (2015). An integrative geotourism approach: Bridging conflicts in tourism landscape research. *Tourism Geographies*, *17*(4), 544-560.

- 6. Van Loon, A. J. (2008). Geological education of the future. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 86(1-4), 247-254.
- Magagna, A., Ferrero, E., Giardino, M., Lozar, F., & Perotti, L. (2013). A selection of geological tours for promoting the Italian geological heritage in the secondary schools. *Geoheritage*, 5, 265-273.
- 8. Brilha, J. (2018). Geoheritage and geoparks. *In Geoheritage* (pp. 323-335). Elsevier.
- 9. Cayla, N. (2014). An overview of new technologies applied to the management of geoheritage. *Geoheritage*, 6(2), 91-102.
- Occhipinti, S. (2020). Geoparks and Geo-Sites: Geological "Learning Objects". In *Global Geographical Heritage*, *Geoparks and Geotourism: Geoconservation and Development* (pp. 205-223). Singapore: Springer Singapore.
- 11. Gordon, J. E. (2018). Geoheritage, geotourism and the cultural landscape: Enhancing the visitor experience and promoting geoconservation. *Geosciences*, 8(4), 136.
- Brilha, J. (2016). Inventory and quantitative assessment of geosites and geodiversity sites: a review. *Geoheritage*, 8(2), 119-134.
- 13. Catana, M. M., & Brilha, J. B. (2020). The role of UNESCO global geoparks in promoting geosciences education for sustainability. *Geoheritage*, *12*(1), 1.
- 14. Dowling, R. (2018). 21. Geotourism and geoparks. *Handbook* of geotourism, 276.
- Farsani, N. T., Mortazavi, M., Bahrami, A., Kalantary, R., & Bizhaem, F. K. (2017). Traditional crafts: a tool for geoeducation in geotourism. *Geoheritage*, 9, 577-584.
- Orion, N., & Hofstein, A. (2016). Educational Values of Virtual Field Trips in Geoparks. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 53(9), 1343-1362.
- 17. Reynard, E., & Brilha, J. (Eds.). (2017). *Geoheritage:* assessment, protection, and management. Elsevier.
- Štrba, L. U., Rybár, P., Baláž, B., Molokáč, M., Hvizdák, L., Kršák, B., ... & Ferenčíková, J. (2015). Geosite assessments: comparison of methods and results. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 18(5), 496-510.

Copyright: ©2025 Susanna Occhipinti. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.